Robot Assisted Cystectomy with Intracorporeal Urinary Diversion versus Open Cystectomy – A Cohort Study of Outcomes within 90 Days
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Abstract

Purpose: To investigate postoperative complications, operating time and length of stay (LOS) for patients with localized bladder cancer, treated with robot assisted radical cystectomy (RARC) with intracorporeal urinary diversion (ICUD) compared to those treated with open radical cystectomy (ORC).

Design and methods: This register-based cohort included 500 patients (ORC=320, RARC-ICUD=180) who underwent radical cystectomy in the period between 05.21.2013 and 11.31.2017 in a single tertiary cancer center. Complications were classified by the Clavien-Dindo system. Major complications (Clavien grades 3-5), operating time, LOS and blood transfusion rates were analyzed using multiple regression or Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test. The outcomes were then analyzed in a periodized sub-analysis.

Results: Significantly fewer patients had blood transfusions in the RARC-ICUD group than in the ORC group (OR 0.20 (95% CI 0.13;0.30, p<0.001)). Mean operative time was 31 minutes longer for RARC-ICUD compared to ORC (95% CI 18;45, p<0.001). There was no significant difference in other parameters comparing the two groups. However, the difference in operating time and OR for hospital admissions >16 days, surgical intervention caused by complications, ICU admission and major complications in general, tended to decrease over time.

Conclusion: RARC-ICUD had lower transfusion frequency than ORC. Mean operating time for RARC-ICUD is 31 minutes longer than ORC, whereas no significant difference was found in other parameters. Moreover, the study indicates that the surgeons experience is important when comparing outcomes from RARC-ICUD and ORC.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In patients with localized invasive bladder cancer, radical cystectomy (RC) with urinary diversion is gold standard of treatment. RC is a complex procedure with high morbidity and mortality. Some reports estimate complication rate above over 60% in open radical cystectomy (ORC) [1].

Robot assisted radical cystectomy (RARC) is increasingly used in RC, though the complications for RARC vs ORC are not yet fully disclosed. Publications comparing RARC with ORC are primarily made in small study populations and compare ORC with “hybrid RARC” where the urinary diversion is performed extra corporeally [2-13]. Complications related to RARC with total intracorporeal urinary diversion (ICUD) compared to ORC is very sparsely investigated in small study populations [14,15].

The aim of this study therefore, was to compare peri- and postoperative outcomes related to RC performed by RARC-ICUD vs ORC.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Population

From a prospective database, we identified 500 patients with localized bladder cancer undergoing RC: RARC (n=180) or ORC (n=320) in a single tertiary cancer centre in...
Denmark. The database extracted data from the electronic medical journal from Central Denmark Region and from the Danish Person Register, which has complete records of vital status in the country [16]. None of the patients were lost to follow-up regarding vital status or admissions within the region.

All RARC procedures were performed with intracorporeal urinary diversion (ICUD) whereas ORC was performed as a mini-laparotomy where the skin incision is significantly smaller than a conventional open surgery-incision [17]. The indication for RC was either muscle-invasive bladder cancer or treatment failure in non-muscle invasive bladder cancer.

All recorded complications within 90 days were classified according to the Clavien Dindo System [18]. Complications were classified as Major Complications if the patient had to undergo surgical intervention, was admitted to ICU or died because of complications equivalent to Clavien 3-5 [19].

The database was approved by the Danish Data Protection Agency (jrn.nr:1-16-02-37-13).

2.2. Statistical Analysis

Normally distributed continuous variables were described by their mean and standard deviation and analyzed using multivariable linear regression. Since length of stay (LOS) was not normally distributed, it was summarized using interquartile ranges (IQR) and the difference between RARC and ORC was tested using Wilcoxon Ranked Sum test.

Multivariable logistic regression analyses were used to analyze the association between the surgical approach and blood transfusion, hospitalization >16 days, secondary surgery, admission to ICU, death and all major complications. Hospitalization cut off at 16 days was chosen based on national statistics of hospital admissions after RC. Thus, it represents the 75-percentile of LOS after RC in Denmark [20].

In order to assess whether the association between operating technique and perioperative complications was dependent on surgeon experience, sub-analysis was performed including an interaction-term between calendar year (2013, 2014-2015, 2016-2017) and operating technique in the multivariable logistic regression models. 2013 was seen as an introduction period to ICUD.

All statistical analyses were performed using the software program Stata 15. All tests were set to a significance level of 0.05.

3. RESULTS

The distribution of gender, mean age, BMI and type of urinary diversion in the two surgical approach groups are shown in table 1. The data base only contained BMI data on 340 of the 500 patients.

Mean age was significantly lower in RARC-patients whereas BMI was significantly higher in this group. Ileal conduit was the main type of urinary diversion in both groups although the proportion of patients receiving a neo bladder, was significantly higher among RARC patients compared to ORC (7.8% and 2.5 % respectively, p=0.006) (table 1).

Table 1. Patient characteristics and type of urinary diversion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Urinary diversion</th>
<th>RARC n=180</th>
<th>ORC n=320</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male (%)</td>
<td>135 (75.0)</td>
<td>230 (71.9)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years. Mean (sd)</td>
<td>67.9 (9.1)</td>
<td>71.6 (9.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI Mean (sd)</td>
<td>28.3 (5.7)</td>
<td>26.2 (4.2)</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Urinary diversion</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ileal conduit (%)</td>
<td>160 (88.9)</td>
<td>307 (96.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neobladder (%)</td>
<td>14 (7.8)</td>
<td>8 (2.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Pouch (%)</td>
<td>3 (1.7)</td>
<td>3 (0.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown (%)</td>
<td>3 (1.7)</td>
<td>2 (0.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RARC= Robotic-Assisted Radical Cystectomy, ORC= Open Radical Cystectomy

*BMI: n= 100 for RARC and n=240 for ORC

The operating time is significantly longer for RARC than for ORC (323 vs 282 minutes). This mean difference of 41 minutes was however reduced to 31 minutes after adjustment for gender, age and type of urinary diversion (p<0.001) (Table2).
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Table 2. Outcomes within 90 days when comparing RARC with ORC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RARC (%)</th>
<th>ORC (%)</th>
<th>Crude OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR** (95% CI)</th>
<th>Crude mean difference (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted mean difference (95% CI)</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood transfusion, yes, n=495</td>
<td>66 (36.7)</td>
<td>241 (75.3)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.13;0.28)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.13;0.30)</td>
<td>*** 41 (27;54)</td>
<td>*** 31 (18;45)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating time minutes, mean (sd), n=492</td>
<td>323 (74.8)</td>
<td>282 (73.9)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.57;1.32)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.53;1.27)</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization &gt;16 days, yes, n=495</td>
<td>43 (23.9)</td>
<td>85 (26.6)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.68;1.64)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.65;1.62)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.53;1.93)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.58;2.21)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary surgery, yes, n=495</td>
<td>41 (22.9)</td>
<td>70 (21.8)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.68;1.34)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.65;1.62)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.64;1.48)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.62;1.51)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission to ICU, yes, n=495</td>
<td>16 (8.9)</td>
<td>28 (8.8)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.57;1.32)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.53;1.27)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.53;1.27)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.53;1.27)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead, yes, n=489</td>
<td>3 (1.7)</td>
<td>17 (5.3)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.68;1.64)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.65;1.62)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.64;1.48)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.62;1.51)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RARC= Robotic-Assisted Radical Cystectomy, ORC= Open Radical Cystectomy, All estimates are indicated as RARC relative to ORC

* p value is calculated from the adjusted estimates
** All estimates are adjusted for sex, age and type of urinary diversion
*** linear regression: mean difference in minutes between RARC and ORC, both crude and adjusted for sex, age and urinary diversion.

The risk of blood transfusion was 80% lower in the RARC group compared to the ORC group (OR 0.20 (95% CI 0.13; 0.30, p <0.001)).

Overall, there was no significant difference between the operating techniques on the complications within 90 days: hospitalization >16 days, secondary surgery, admission to ICU, or all complication grade 3-5. Although not significant, the risk of death was 61% lower for RARC compared to ORC.

As the database only contained BMI data on 340 of the 500 patients, no adjustment for BMI was made in the multivariate analyses, because it would reduce the study population dramatically. The median LOS was 9 (IQR 7;15) and 10 (IQR 7;16) for RARC vs ORC respectively, (p= 0.78).

A significant interaction was found between operating technique and period in operation time (p<0.01) (table 3). Hence, the mean difference in operating time in 2013 was 147 minutes (95% CI 101; 192). This difference was reduced to 12 minutes (95% CI -9; 33) in 2015-2016 and 22 minutes (95% CI 4; 40) in 2016-2017.

Table 3. Adjusted OR/ time difference when comparing RARC with ORC regarding complications within 90 days, divided by period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood transfusion, yes</td>
<td>0.20 (0.04;0.89)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.09; 0.33)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.13; 0.41)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference in mean operating time, minutes</td>
<td>147 (101;192)**</td>
<td>12 (-9; 33)**</td>
<td>22 (4;40)**</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization &gt;16 days, yes</td>
<td>1.0 (0.21;4.61)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.39; 1.7).</td>
<td>0.76 (0.41; 1.38)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary surgery, yes</td>
<td>0.86 (0.15;4.85)</td>
<td>1.42 (0.69; 2.93)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.41; 1.47)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission to ICU, yes</td>
<td>2.03 (0.16;25.40)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.38; 3.47)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.38; 2.25)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead, yes</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complication grade 3-5, yes</td>
<td>0.68 (0.12;3.79)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.57; 2.38)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.46; 1.55)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RARC= Robotic-Assisted Radical Cystectomy, ORC= Open Radical Cystectomy, Estimates are indicated as RARC relative to ORC

* All estimates are adjusted for sex, age and type of urinary diversion
**Linear regression: mean difference in minutes between RARC and ORC, adjusted for sex, age and urinary diversion.**

***Population too small for adjustment***

The variables blood transfusion, hospitalization >16 days, secondary surgery, admission to ICU, death and all complication grade 3-5, revealed no statistically significant difference between the periods, although a tendency towards a decrease in OR for several outcomes was seen from the period 2014-15 to 2016-2017.

4. DISCUSSION

As mentioned, earlier studies that compare RARC with ORC are mostly a comparison of RARC-ECUD and ORC and are performed with very small study populations. However, the articles from Chow et al.[15] and Atmaca et al.[14] present results comparing RARC-ICUD technique with ORC. Both studies find that RARC-ICUD leads to significantly lower blood loss and longer operating time, and finds no significant difference in Clavien 3-5 complications or LOS.

This is in accordance with the findings in the present study where we found that significantly fewer patients received blood transfusions in the RARC-ICUD group compared to the ORC group, and that RARC-ICUD on average takes 31 minutes longer than ORC to perform.

In May 2018, The International Robotic Cystectomy Consortium (IRCC) presented a comparison of RARC-ICUD and hybrid RARC (ECUD) in 2125 RARC patients operated in 2013-2016[21]. The Consortium found overall, shorter operating time (357 vs 400 minutes p <0.001), fewer blood transfusions (4% vs 19%, p <0.001) but also more major complications (13% vs 10%, p = 0.02) for ICUD compared to ECUD. The level of complications, however, decreases significantly over time in line with greater experience with the procedure [21].

ICUD thus appears to have advantages over ECUD. Therefore a greater contrast between RARC-ICUD and ORC was expected in the current study compared to findings in previous studies comparing hybrid RARC with ORC [2-13]. However, this lack of clear clinical benefit might be a result of the technique used for ORC which is mini-laparotomy in our institution, whereas previous studies assumable used maximum incision technique. The smaller incision results in fewer wound complications, decreased need for opioids and shorter LOS [17], leaving a smaller contrast between ORC and RARC-ICUD.

In line with IRCC, this study also finds that the complication rate of RARC-ICUD tended to decrease over time, indicating an effect of the surgeons’ experience.

This study finds that the risk of secondary surgery and Clavien 3-5 complications are greater for RARC than ORC in 2014-2015 while ORC has higher risk of secondary surgery and grade 3-5 complications in 2013 and 2016-2017.

One possible explanation for this may be due to the inclusion of more complicated patients, as well as more complicated urinary diversions in 2014, after becoming more experienced in ICUD.

The selection of patients to RARC-ICUD or ORC, respectively, is based on patient parameters such as BMI, heart/lung disease, neoadjuvant treatment and previous abdominal surgery. This study is limited by missing information on these parameters as it limits the degree of possible confounder control to age, gender and type of urinary diversion. The strengths are no loss to follow-up on vital parameters and hospital admissions as well as a larger study population than previous studies.

5. CONCLUSION

RARC-ICUD had lower transfusion frequency than ORC. Mean operating time for RARC-ICUD is 31 minutes longer than for ORC, but the difference in operating time minimizes as the surgeon obtain greater experience with RARC-ICUD. No significant difference was found in other parameters.

It is possible that the lack of significant differences between the outcomes of RARC-ICUD and ORC in this study is due to the ORC being performed with minimal incision technique.

Moreover, the study shows that the learning curve is important when comparing outcomes from RARC-ICUD and ORC.
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