ARC Journal of Cardiology
Volume 10, Issue 1, 2025, PP 1-10
ISSN No. (Online) 2455-5991
DOI: https://doi.org/10.20431/2455-5991.1001001
www.arcjournals.org

Innovative Wearable Technology for Early Detection of Cardiac Tamponade

Muhammad Hassan[1], Kelly Frasier[2], Vivian Li[3], Sriya Kakarla[4], Mahssa Rezaei[5], Julia Vinagolu-Baur[6*], Sandra Cuevas[7], Emily Deehan[8]

[1,2,3]Nuvance Health/Vassar Brothers Medical Center, Poughkeepsie, NY

[4]UTHealth Houston McGovern Medical School, Houston, TX

[5]Burrell College of Osteopathic Medicine, Las Cruces, New Mexico

[6]SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY

[7]University of Puerto Rico School of Medicine, San Juan, PR

[8]Nova Southeastern University Dr. Kiran C. Patel College of Osteopathic Medicine, Davie, FL

Received: 06 January 2025 Accepted: 29 January 2025 Published: 10 February 2025

Corresponding Author:** ***Julia Vinagolu-Baur, *SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY*

Abstract

Cardiac tamponade is a serious cardiovascular condition where fluid, blood, pus, or gas builds up in the pericardial space. Cardiac tamponade poses a significant challenge in clinical practice, often leading to rapid hemodynamic compromise and requiring prompt intervention for favorable outcomes. This qualitative analysis offers a comprehensive exploration into the potential of innovative wearable technologies for the early detection of cardiac tamponade. By synthesizing qualitative data from a range of feasibility studies, pilot trials, and user experiences, this analysis aims to provide an in-depth assessment of the accuracy, usability, and real-time monitoring capabilities of wearable devices in identifying early signs and monitoring the progression of cardiac tamponade. Through a thorough examination of the technological intricacies, including sensor accuracy, data processing algorithms, and integration with existing healthcare systems, this analysis seeks to delineate the strengths and limitations of wearable-based diagnostic tools in this context. Moreover, by evaluating the perspectives of clinicians, engineers, and patients, this analysis aims to capture nuanced insights into the feasibility and acceptability of wearable technologies for cardiac tamponade detection in diverse clinical settings. Ultimately, the insights gleaned from this analysis are poised to inform the iterative development and refinement of wearable-based diagnostic solutions, potentially revolutionizing the early detection and management of cardiac tamponade and improving patient outcomes in this critical clinical scenario.

1. Introduction

Cardiac tamponade is a severe cardiovascular shock. The clinical significance of cardiac tamponade lies in its profound impact on cardiovascular function and systemic perfusion. As intrapericardial pressure rises, several fundamental hemodynamic changes occur. These include decreased ventricular filling, equalization of diastolic pressures, pulsus paradoxus, tachycardia, and decreased cardiac output and hypotension. [5] The hemodynamic consequences of cardiac tamponade can be particularly severe in certain clinical scenarios. For instance, in patients with pre-existing heart failure or valvular disease, even small pericardial effusions can precipitate significant hemodynamic compromise. [6] The potential for rapid clinical deterioration in cardiac tamponade underscores the critical importance of prompt diagnosis and intervention. Mortality rates for untreated acute cardiac tamponade approach 100%, highlighting the time-sensitive nature of this condition.

Pericardiocentesis remains the primary therapeutic intervention for cardiac tamponade. This procedure involves percutaneous drainage of the pericardial fluid, which can provide immediate hemodynamic improvement. In cases of recurrent tamponade or when pericardiocentesis is contraindicated, surgical interventions such as pericardial window creation or pericardiectomy may be necessary.

[5] The timing of intervention is crucial, as delays in treatment can lead to prolonged organ hypoperfusion, multi-organ dysfunction, and increased mortality. Even with timely treatment, reported mortality rates range from 5% to 15% [6], emphasizing the severity of this condition.

Early detection of cardiac tamponade presents significant challenges with traditional diagnostic methods. The classic triad of Beck (hypotension, jugular venous distension, and muffled heart sounds) is not always present, especially in cases of slowly developing tamponade. This variability in presentation can lead to diagnostic delays. [3] While echocardiography is the gold standard for diagnosis, it requires specialized equipment and expertise. This can result in delays, particularly in resource-limited settings or during off-hours. [7] Traditional monitoring methods often involve intermittent assessments, which may miss the gradual development of tamponade, especially in high-risk patients. [8] Initial symptoms such as dyspnea, chest discomfort, or tachycardia are non-specific and may be attributed to other conditions, further complicating early recognition.

Wearable technologies offer promising potential for addressing these challenges and improving early detection of cardiac tamponade. These devices can provide round-the-clock monitoring of key physiological parameters associated with tamponade development, such as heart rate variability, respiratory rate, and thoracic impedance. [9] Advanced algorithms can analyze continuous data streams to detect subtle hemodynamic changes that may precede clinically apparent tamponade, potentially allowing for earlier intervention. [10] Wearable technologies can facilitate remote patient monitoring, enabling healthcare providers to track high-risk patients in outpatient settings and intervene promptly when necessary. [11] These devices can be integrated with electronic health records and clinical decision support systems, streamlining the data analysis process and alerting clinicians to potential cases of developing tamponade. [12] Wearable technologies can provide personalized risk assessments by continuously monitoring individual patient data, allowing for more targeted surveillance and intervention strategies. [10] Moreover, wearable devices can empower patients to actively participate in their health monitoring [11], potentially leading to earlier reporting of symptoms and improved adherence to follow-up care.

The integration of wearable technologies in cardiac monitoring has the potential to revolutionize the management of patients at risk for cardiac tamponade. These technologies could significantly improve patient outcomes in this critical clinical scenario by enabling earlier detection and intervention. However, further research is needed to validate the accuracy, reliability, and clinical utility of wearable-based diagnostic tools for cardiac tamponade detection in diverse clinical settings.

2. Methods

To investigate the integration of wearable technology in the early detection of cardiac tamponade, this narrative review employed a literature search strategy spanning three databases: PubMed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. The search utilized specific keywords including "cardiac tamponade," "wearable technology," "early detection," and "wearable cardiovascular devices" for the retrieval of relevant articles. The inclusion criteria focused on articles that provided insights into the practical application, effectiveness, and user engagement of wearable technologies in real-world scenarios. Conversely, studies unrelated to wearable technologies specifically for detecting cardiac tamponade were excluded.

Data on the accuracy, usability, real-time monitoring capabilities, and clinical integration of the discussed wearable technologies were gathered. The synthesis of this data involved a thorough summarization and thematic analysis of the key findings. This analysis was aided in identifying significant themes and providing deeper insights into the current state and effectiveness of these technologies. Critical evaluations were made on how these technologies fulfill clinical needs, integrate with existing healthcare systems, and enhance real-time monitoring capabilities.

2.1. Evaluation of Wearable Technologies

Several feasibility studies and pilot trials have explored the potential of wearable technologies in the early detection of cardiac tamponade. These studies utilized a variety of wearable devices equipped with sensors capable of monitoring key physiological parameters such as heart rate, respiratory rate, and blood pressure.

In evaluating the effectiveness of wearable technologies for cardiac tamponade detection, several key metrics are commonly used. Sensitivity and specificity metrics are crucial in determining the accuracy of the wearables in detecting true positive cases and correctly identifying those without the condition, respectively. High sensitivity ensures that most cases are detected early, while high specificity minimizes false positives. User compliance, as the extent to which patients consistently use the wearable devices as intended, is a significant factor as well. Studies often measure adherence rates and reasons for non-compliance to understand and address barriers to regular use. However, further study is warranted to accurately assess user compliance among devices. A case report by Prager et al. discusses the potential of wearable carotid Doppler devices as a noninvasive tool to aid in the diagnosis of pericardial tamponade by detecting the hemodynamic impact of a pericardial effusion. In particular, the authors discuss how low corrected carotid flow time (CFT), interpreted as a surrogate for stroke volume, in addition to significant respiratory variation, ultimately supported the diagnosis of cardiac tamponade. [13] Cardiac tamponade is the most common cause of death during catheter ablation. Catheter ablation is a treatment for atrial fibrillation and accounts for approximately 25% of deaths. [14] Several studies document the potential of remote cardiac monitoring devices on AF detection, thereby demonstrating the potential for the early detection of cardiac tamponade.

A notable study conducted by Saarinen et al. investigated the performance of a wrist-worn device combining PPG and ECG in detecting atrial fibrillation. The study detected a sensitivity of 97.7% and a specificity of 89.8%, demonstrating promising results. [15] A recent review of remote cardiac monitoring devices by Xintarakou et al. found that PPG sensors enhanced with warning signals to prompt ECG recordings, were responsible for significantly increasing both sensitivity for AF detection, and specificity, to about 96.9% and 99.3%, respectively. [16] These studies highlight the potential of wearables to detect early hemodynamic changes indicative of cardiac tamponade, where the facilitation of timely intervention is key. However, further large-scale studies are needed to validate these findings across diverse patient populations.

Usability assessments have focused on the design and functionality of wearable devices. Effective user interface design is critical for ensuring that patients can easily operate the devices without extensive training. This includes devices with intuitive touchscreens and straightforward instructions which tend to have higher user satisfaction and compliance rates. Additionally, feedback from end-users is crucial in driving the iterative design process, eventually leading to more user-friendly interfaces. Patient adherence is influenced by the comfort and convenience of wearable devices. Wearables designed with ergonomic considerations, lightweight materials, and minimal obtrusiveness tend to be more acceptable to patients. Studies indicate that patient comfort is a significant determinant of long-term adherence. Current devices include watches, bands, bracelets, patches, clothing items, and miscellaneous items (phone attachments, rings). Flexible wristbands or chest straps that do not restrict movement have been favored over bulkier alternatives. [17] A review by Adasuriya et al. summarizes the advantages of these wearable technologies, from the reduction in clinic visits to physician-patient interaction and collaboration to improve health behaviors.

However, the authors also highlight key challenges that exist with these present technologies. These challenges include lack of integration into clinical workflows, and regulatory and cybersecurity concerns which impact the user experience and can lead to hesitation in utilizing these devices in the long term. [18] Further investigation is warranted to incorporate clinician perspectives on workflow integration, including the need or emergence of reliable alert systems to notify clinicians in real-time. Wearable technologies also offer the advantage of continuous monitoring, which is crucial for early detection of cardiac tamponade. Continuous monitoring provides ongoing data that can capture subtle hemodynamic changes over time, whereas episodic checks may miss transient events. This continuous stream of data allows for more accurate trend analysis and early intervention. [19] Overall, the evaluation of wearable technologies for the early detection of cardiac tamponade demonstrates significant promise. Through continuous monitoring, user-friendly design, and effective integration with clinical workflows, these technologies have the potential to revolutionize the management of cardiac tamponade, leading to improved patient outcomes. Further research and development are essential to refine these technologies and fully realize their potential in diverse clinical environments.

2.2. Overview of Cardiac Tamponade Detection

Echocardiography is the gold standard for diagnosing cardiac tamponade. It offers precise real-time imaging to detect pericardial effusion and the hemodynamic effects it has on the heart. The main advantages of this method are that it is non-invasive, results are obtained quickly, and it can provide detailed imaging, as well as making it an invaluable tool in emergency settings. [20] Clinicians are able to evaluate and treat patients more quickly as a result. Though image quality may be affected in patients with specific physical and anatomical traits, such as obesity or lung disease, the efficacy of echocardiography is largely dependent on the operator's experience. [21] Unfortunately, echocardiography is typically only available in higher-resource settings.

Clinical assessment of cardiac tamponade often involves identifying Beck's triad—hypotension, jugular venous distention, and muffled heart sounds—alongside other symptoms like dyspnea, tachycardia, and pulsus paradoxus. [6] While these signs are critical for the initial assessment, their reliability can vary greatly and prove to be inconsistent. Treatment for cardiac tamponade may be delayed or misdiagnosed due to its non-specific symptoms, which can also resemble those of other cardiovascular disorders. [20] Furthermore, the subjective aspect of clinical examinations can lead to variations across various healthcare providers, which diminishes consistency in diagnosis. [22] Current diagnostic methods for cardiac tamponade face several challenges. Echocardiography, while effective, does not provide continuous monitoring and is highly operator-dependent. While clinical signs are helpful for preliminary evaluations, they as well are not very specific and can vary significantly between patients, which proves to be a challenge that increases the risk of a delayed or incorrect diagnosis. [23] Lastly, these techniques frequently only identify advanced stages of tamponade, highlighting the need for more dependable and ongoing monitoring instruments.

An important advancement in the diagnosis and treatment of cardiac tamponade is the emergence of wearable technologies. Tamponade can be detected early with the help of these devices, which provide continuous real-time monitoring of hemodynamic parameters and vital signs before clinical symptoms appear. Wearable devices are non-invasive and can be comfortably worn over long periods, making them suitable for continuous monitoring. [24] Additionally, their capacity to facilitate remote monitoring guarantees that patients can be observed outside of conventional healthcare settings, thereby improving early intervention and management. [25] In summary, while traditional diagnostic methods for cardiac tamponade have significant value, they also exhibit notable limitations. Wearable technology offers a promising solution that has the potential to transform the early detection and management of cardiac tamponade by providing accurate, continuous, and non-invasive monitoring, which could ultimately lead to improved patient outcomes.

2.3. Clinical and Engineering Perspectives

Ensuring that such technology is successful includes taking into account the clinician's perspective on its integration into their medical practice. While the various devices can provide a wealth of data, there is a bottleneck on the time and precision it takes clinicians to interpret such data. An Australian study used a smartphone ECG device to compare the application's interpretation of the ECGs against the clinician's evaluation. One drawback observed in this study is that PCPs had a lower diagnostic yield of cardiac conditions compared to electrophysiologists (EPs). [26] This limits the quantity of physicians with the skill to read data, leading to potential late diagnoses. PCPs also had more false-negative diagnoses than the EPs, which could lead to inaccurate information being given to patients. The device was able to classify specific tracings as "unclassified" in order for EPs to manually interpret the results. This reduces the time needed for a physician to look through all tracings, and instead permits them to only look at ones that may present with more difficult, uncertain evaluations.

The diagnosis and assessment of cardiac tamponade requires the inclusion of many criteria, such as cardiac output and pulsus paradoxus. A wearable carotid Doppler device has been used to evaluate and confirm the diagnosis of cardiac tamponade in real time. The initial diagnosis was made by the patient's clinical presentation, ultrasound, and other forms of hemodynamic monitoring, and was further corroborated by the wearable technology. This demonstrates a limitation in the use of such technology as it is not able to integrate certain variables in its evaluation to clinicians. The Dopper device was able to appraise the magnitude of the pericardial effusion and its systemic effects, guiding clinicians in evaluating the patient's improvement in stroke volume. This can help reduce the risk of postpericardiocentesis syndrome. It also helps guide physicians in assessing the costs and benefits of certain management decisions in difficult, life-threatening clinical scenarios. [26] Thus, the wearable Doppler device can be used not only to diagnose cardiac tamponade, but also to monitor post-treatment hemodynamic variables in patients.

Just as there are challenges for clinicians in employing these technologies, there are also obstacles on the engineering and developing side. While PPG has come a long way from its inception and is now able to accurately assess parameters such as heart rate and cardiac output, there are limitations in its use. Compared to ECG, current algorithms used in PPG have lower accuracy rates in determining cardiovascular events such as atrial fibrillation. [27] Others have also realized such problems and have attempted solutions using motion and noise artifacts (MNA) to create an algorithm that is more sensitive and accurate in detecting atrial fibrillation. This algorithm has already been applied to devices such as a Samsung Gear S3, and can be used by the general population to detect arrhythmias. [28] The final population that needs to be taken into account for these wearable devices is the patient themself. A patient's willingness to wear such a device can be measured using perceived usefulness (PU). A study found that PU of current technologies increases the PU of technologies that are meant for extreme events, such as a cardiac tamponade, and future devices that may be introduced to the market. [29] Wearing a device such as this can be daunting and tedious for the patient, but their compliance is essential to the detection of cardiovascular events.

Another barrier to consider is the ease of use of such devices, especially for elderly patients. Such patients may be more hesitant to use these devices and education may be more difficult. Most studies done on patients using such technologies have been conducted on younger patients who use up to date, modern technologies, such as the Apple Watch. Thus, more studies need to be piloted to show similar studies in an older population. An ongoing concern patients have is that of privacy of their data when wearing these devices [30], a concern that can only be remediated with time and proof of concept.

2.4. Technological Intricacies of Wearable Devices

The core of wearable technology lies in its use of sensors which employ real-time monitoring capabilities to detect early signs of conditions like cardiac tamponade. To track blood volume changes, wearable devices use photoplethysmography (PPG), a low-level and low-cost technology that captures pulsatile physiological waveforms and superimposes them on baseline readings, sympathetic nervous system activity and thermoregulation. [31] This technology measures key data points such as oxygen saturation, blood pressure, and cardiac output, making it valuable for predicting pathology before symptoms arise, and encouraging patients to seek emergency medical services earlier. Accelerometers play a key role in measuring physical activity-related energy expenditure by detecting acceleration along axes, with the data varying depending on the location of the wearable device, such as the hip or wrist. [32] While accelerometer data is measured differently across devices, triaxial accelerometers, which capture movement along three axes, have shown superior results in detecting non-ambulatory and sedentary activities. [33] However, no specific make or model of accelerometer has been definitively proven to be superior for all use cases. Micro-pressure sensors are increasingly used in wearables to monitor real-time physiological behavior, such as heartbeat and pulse waves. [34] To ensure the effectiveness of these advanced monitoring technologies, it is equally crucial to prioritize the security of the sensitive health data they collect.

To protect the secure transmission of health data, devices use Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC). ECC has become the preferred method for encrypting and decrypting sensitive information in wearables. [35] ECC's efficiency and compactness make it ideal for integration into hardware, offering strong protection for sensitive health data in smaller devices. For the effective detection of conditions like cardiac tamponade, it is essential that the sensors not only safeguard health information but also provide highly reliable measurements of hemodynamic parameters, which can change subtly over time. Achieving this level of precision requires sensors that offer both high accuracy and dependability in detecting these subtle fluctuations in cardiovascular health. Wearable biophysical sensors have shown 94% accuracy in detecting biophysical parameters such as heart rate, temperature, and blood pressure. [36] However, technology such as PPG is limited by the degree of tightness of the device, and random gestures, which have been shown to produce incorrect heart rate readings. [37] While wearable sensors have demonstrated impressive accuracy in detecting key biophysical parameters, challenges such as device fit, and user movement can still affect the reliability of readings. To address these limitations and further enhance measurement precision, artificial intelligence (AI) is increasingly being integrated into wearable technology.

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into wearable technology is transforming healthcare, particularly in the realm of cardiovascular diagnostics. By employing AI's ability to process data quickly and accurately, these devices provide real-time monitoring and improved diagnostic capabilities, addressing challenges such as motion artifacts and delayed reaction times that have previously hindered wearable gadgets. Advancements have been made in the ability of wearables to support the diagnosis of cardiac tamponade by quantifying low corrected carotid flow time (CFT), a surrogate marker for stroke volume. [37] In patients with low CFT, the wearable can assist physicians by recording supporting data prior to patient visit. However, Wearable devices possess the potential to fundamentally reshape patient assessment by providing continuous, real-time physiological data, but their integration into healthcare systems introduces significant challenges regarding data privacy and security. The data collected by wearables spans a broad range of clinical applications, from routine monitoring data for annual physical exams to urgent alerts which prompt emergency intervention. Physical activity (step counter, heart rate) is amongst the most common measurements obtained by wearable

While the data generated by wearable devices is currently protected under HIPAA, which mandates patient consent for collection and sharing, there are significant gaps in safeguarding medical records accessed through device downloads. [42] Currently, most interactions with wearable devices are conducted at the discretion of the patient, with no comprehensive system in place to regulate or secure the transfer of medical data. This lack of formalized safeguards raises concerns about unauthorized access and potential breaches of sensitive health information, highlighting the need for more robust privacy protections as wearable technology becomes increasingly integrated into clinical workflows.

2.5. Strengths and Limitations of Wearable-Based Diagnostic Tools

One of the most significant advantages of wearable-based diagnostic tools is their potential

the potential for AI is beyond supporting a diagnosis, as it is now moving towards the ability to diagnose pathology, especially in conditions such as atrial fibrillation. The use of a wearable device equipped with an AI algorithm, a single-channel electrocardiogram, and PPG showed 88% sensitivity, 96.41% specificity, and 93.27% accuracy in detecting atrial fibrillation. [38] Deep learning algorithms can analyze data from multiple sensors simultaneously. These algorithms have the capability to learn patterns of pressure, volume, or heart rate changes that are indicative of various cardiac pathologies. [39]. Current limitations for wearables incorporating AI include the processing power and battery life of small devices constraining the full potential of sophisticated AI. [40] However, as technology evolves, artificial intelligence is expected to play a key role in the early detection and management of cardiovascular diseases.

devices, and its use as a well-established marker of current and future health outcomes serves as a strong objective data set that physicians can use in monitoring patient wellness or progress. [40] More advanced markers, including the capability of obtaining a real-time electrocardiogram (ECG) allow for heart rhythm to be monitored which has the potential to track emergency, disease progression, or even therapeutic efficacy over time. Hypertension has the capability of being tracked using PPG and ECG together to quantify a pulse transit time parameter. [41]

for early detection and timely intervention. Wearable devices, equipped with advanced sensors and real-time monitoring capabilities, can continuously track vital physiological parameters such as heart rate, respiratory rate, and blood pressure. This continuous monitoring allows for the early identification of subtle hemodynamic changes that may indicate the onset of cardiac tamponade, a condition that can rapidly progress to life-threatening stages if not promptly addressed. [15] By identifying these early warning signs, wearable devices enable healthcare providers to intervene promptly, preventing the condition from reaching critical levels and thereby improving patient outcomes.

The capacity for continuous monitoring is particularly valuable in detecting transient events that might be missed during periodic clinical assessments. Wearable devices provide a comprehensive data stream that allows for the detection of early, often subclinical, signs of cardiac tamponade. This proactive approach to monitoring can lead to earlier diagnosis and treatment, which is crucial for conditions like cardiac tamponade that require urgent medical attention. [13] The ability to continuously monitor patients in real-time also facilitates more informed clinical decision-making, as it provides a detailed picture of the patient's physiological status over time.

By facilitating early detection, wearable technologies have the potential to significantly reduce morbidity and mortality associated with cardiac tamponade. Early intervention is crucial in managing this condition, as delays can lead to severe complications, including cardiac arrest and death. The continuous data provided by wearable devices ensures that healthcare providers can be alerted to changes in a patient's condition as they occur, allowing for rapid response and treatment. Studies have demonstrated that early detection of cardiac events through wearable devices can lead to better clinical outcomes and lower rates of hospitalization. [16] Moreover, wearable devices can help reduce the burden on healthcare systems by minimizing the need for emergency interventions and intensive care. By detecting and addressing cardiac tamponade early, these devices can prevent the condition from escalating to a point where more invasive and costly treatments are required. For instance, remote monitoring capabilities can reduce the frequency of in-person visits, allowing for better management of patients in their own homes and reducing strain on hospital facilities. [14] This not only improves patient safety and outcomes but also contributes to more efficient use of healthcare resources.

Despite their promising potential, wearable-based diagnostic tools are not without technical limitations. One significant issue is the occurrence of false positives and false negatives. False positives can lead to unnecessary anxiety, medical interventions, and increased healthcare costs, while false negatives may result in missed diagnoses and delayed treatment, potentially jeopardizing patient safety. The accuracy of these devices can be affected by various factors, including the quality of sensors, data processing algorithms, and the presence of motion artifacts. [28] Wearable devices rely heavily on the quality and precision of their sensors. Inaccurate readings due to sensor malfunctions or environmental interferences can compromise the reliability of the data collected. Furthermore, the algorithms used to process this data must be sophisticated enough to differentiate between true physiological changes and artifacts caused by movement or other external factors. Improvements in sensor technology and algorithmic accuracy are necessary to reduce the incidence of false readings and enhance the overall reliability of these devices.

Another critical technical limitation is the battery life of wearable devices. Continuous monitoring requires substantial energy, and frequent recharging may be inconvenient for users, potentially affecting compliance and the consistency of data collection. [17] This is especially problematic in scenarios where uninterrupted monitoring is essential. Advances in battery technology and power management strategies are needed to extend the operational life of wearable devices, thereby ensuring continuous monitoring without frequent interruptions.

2.6. Clinical Limitations

Integrating wearable diagnostic tools into standard clinical practice presents several challenges. One major barrier is the need for seamless integration with existing healthcare systems. This includes ensuring that data from wearable devices can be efficiently transmitted to and interpreted by healthcare providers. Many current healthcare infrastructures are not equipped to handle the continuous influx of data generated by these devices[18], necessitating significant upgrades and adaptations.

The effective use of wearable technology in clinical practice also requires robust data management and analysis systems. Healthcare providers need tools to manage and interpret the vast amounts of data generated by these devices, which can be overwhelming without appropriate systems in place. Additionally, there are concerns about the interoperability of wearable devices with existing electronic health record (EHR) systems, which is crucial for integrating wearable data into the broader context of patient care.

Another critical limitation is the cost associated with these technologies. High initial costs for devices and ongoing expenses for maintenance and data management may limit widespread adoption, particularly in resource-limited settings. [19] While the long-term benefits of reduced hospitalizations and improved patient outcomes could offset these costs, the initial financial barrier remains a significant obstacle. Furthermore, healthcare providers may require additional training to effectively utilize and interpret data [26] from wearable devices, adding to the overall cost and complexity of implementation.

Additionally, regulatory and cybersecurity concerns pose significant challenges. The integration of wearable devices into clinical practice must comply with stringent regulatory standards to ensure patient safety and data privacy. Ensuring the security of patient data is paramount, particularly as wearable devices often transmit sensitive health information over wireless networks. Addressing these regulatory and cybersecurity issues is crucial for gaining the trust of both healthcare providers and patients, and for facilitating the broader adoption of wearable diagnostic technologies.

In conclusion, while wearable-based diagnostic tools offer significant strengths in the early detection and timely intervention of cardiac tamponade, several technical and clinical limitations must be addressed to fully realize their potential. Continuous advancements in sensor technology, data processing algorithms, and battery life, coupled with improved integration into healthcare systems and cost-effective solutions, are essential for the widespread adoption and effectiveness of these innovative diagnostic tools.

3. Discussion

The development and refinement of wearable technologies for detecting cardiac tamponade hinge on continuous feedback from clinicians, engineers, and patients. This collaborative approach facilitates the rapid identification and resolution of technical and practical issues, ensuring the technologies are tailored to real-world clinical environments. Regular updates and enhancements based on this feedback are critical for improving both the functionality and user satisfaction of the devices. By systematically refining these technologies, developers can better meet the stringent requirements of clinical settings, enhancing both the reliability and accuracy of these critical diagnostic tools.

To fully realize the potential of wearable technologies in detecting cardiac tamponade, addressing existing research gaps is imperative. Large-scale, randomized controlled trials are essential to validate the effectiveness of these technologies and to convince healthcare providers of their utility. Moreover, the exploration of new sensor technologies and advanced data analytics is crucial for enhancing the predictive capabilities and accuracy of these devices. By pioneering developments in these areas, researchers can lead to breakthroughs that significantly advance the field, offering more sophisticated solutions that could redefine early detection and monitoring of cardiac conditions.

The successful integration of wearable technologies into cardiac tamponade management could revolutionize how this condition is monitored and treated, with profound implications for broader cardiovascular care. These technologies enable a more proactive healthcare approach, potentially improving patient outcomes through earlier diagnosis and more effective intervention strategies. Over time, the adoption of such innovations could lead to a significant decrease in emergency interventions and improve long-term health outcomes. As these technologies evolve, they may offer a paradigm shift in patient care, moving from reactive to preventive strategies that ensure better health and quality of life for individuals with cardiovascular risks.

4. Conclusion

The evaluation of wearable technologies for the early detection of cardiac tamponade presents a promising avenue for improving clinical outcomes. These devices offer significant strengths such as continuous monitoring, early detection of hemodynamic changes, and potential reductions in morbidity and mortality through timely intervention. However, their integration into clinical practice faces several challenges including technical limitations like sensor accuracy and battery life, as well as clinical barriers such as data management and healthcare system integration. Addressing these challenges requires ongoing advancements in sensor technology, robust data analytics, and regulatory frameworks to ensure safety and efficacy. Despite these obstacles, the evolving landscape of wearable technologies holds tremendous potential to transform cardiac tamponade management by providing clinicians with real-time, actionable data and empowering patients with proactive health monitoring tools. Future research efforts should focus on large-scale validation studies and technological innovations to further refine these devices and maximize their impact in diverse clinical settings.

References

[1] Adler, Y., Charron, P., Imazio, M., Badano, L., Barón-Esquivias, G., Bogaert, J., & Vahanian, A. (2015). 2015 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of pericardial

diseases. European Heart Journal, 36(42), 2921-2964.

[2] Spodick, D. H. (2003). Acute cardiac tamponade. New England Journal of Medicine, 349(7), 684-690.

[3] Roy, C. L., Minor, M. A., Brookhart, M. A., & Choudhry, N. K. (2007). Does this patient with a pericardial effusion have cardiac tamponade? JAMA, 297(16), 1810-1818.

[4] Reddy, P. S., Curtiss, E. I., & Uretsky, B. F. (2017). Spectrum of hemodynamic changes in cardiac tamponade. The American Journal of Cardiology, 66(20), 1487-1491.

[5] Imazio, M., Gaita, F., & LeWinter, M. (2015). Evaluation and treatment of pericarditis: a systematic review. JAMA, 314(14), 1498-1506.

[6] Sagristà-Sauleda, J., Mercé, A. S., & Soler-Soler, J. (2011). Diagnosis and management of pericardial effusion. World Journal of Cardiology, 3(5), 135-143.

[7] Argulian, E., & Messerli, F. (2013). Misconceptions and facts about pericardial effusion and tamponade. The American Journal of Medicine, 126(10), 858-861.

[8] Restrepo, C. S., Lemos, D. F., Lemos, J. A., Velasquez, E., Diethelm, L., Ovella, T. A., & Baxter, L. C. (2007). Imaging findings in cardiac tamponade with emphasis on CT. Radiographics, 27(6), 1595-1610.

[9] Dunn, J., Runge, R., & Snyder, M. (2018). Wearables and the medical revolution. Personalized Medicine, 15(5), 429-448.

[10] Steinhubl, S. R., Muse, E. D., & Topol, E. J. (2015). The emerging field of mobile health. Science Translational Medicine, 7(283), 283rv3.

[11] Pevnick, J. M., Birkeland, K., Zimmer, R., Elad, Y., & Kedan, I. (2018). Wearable technology for cardiology: An update and framework for the future. Trends in Cardiovascular Medicine, 28(2), 144-150.

[12] Sharma, A., Harrington, R. A., McClellan, M. B., Turakhia, M. P., Eapen, Z. J., Steinhubl, S., & Desai, S. A. (2018). Using digital health technology to better generate evidence and deliver evidence-based care. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 71(23), 2680-2690.

[13] Prager, R., Pratte, M., Kenny, J.-E., & Rola, P. (2023). A wireless, wearable carotid Doppler ultrasound AIDS diagnosis and monitoring of pericardial tamponade: A case report. Critical Care Explorations, 5(5). https://doi.org/10.1097/cce.0000000000000911

[14] Khan Z. (2023). Cardiac Tamponade During Catheter Atrial Fibrillation Ablation: A Life-Threatening Complication. Cureus, 15(9), e44989. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.44989

[15] Saarinen, H. J., Joutsen, A., Korpi, K., Halkola, T., Nurmi, M., Hernesniemi, J., & Vehkaoja, A. (2023). Wrist-worn device combining PPG and ECG can be reliably used for atrial fibrillation detection in an outpatient setting. *Frontiers in cardiovascular medicine*, 10, 1100127. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcvm.2023.1100127

[16] Xintarakou, A., Sousonis, V., Asvestas, D., Vardas, P. E., & Tzeis, S. (2022). Remote Cardiac Rhythm Monitoring in the Era of Smart Wearables: Present Assets and Future Perspectives. *Frontiers in cardiovascular medicine*, 9, 853614. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcvm.2022.853614

[17] Dahiya, E. S., Kalra, A. M., Lowe, A., & Anand, G. (2024). Wearable Technology for Monitoring Electrocardiograms (ECGs) in Adults: A Scoping Review. Sensors (Basel, Switzerland), 24(4), 1318. https://doi.org/10.3390/s24041318

[18] Adasuriya, G., & Haldar, S. (2022). Remote monitoring of cardiac arrhythmias using wearable digital technology: Paradigm shift or pipe dream? *European Journal of Arrhythmia & Electrophysiology*, 8(1), 7. https://doi.org/10.17925/ejae.2022.8.1.7

[19] Zhang, T., Liu, N., Xu, J., Liu, Z., Zhou, Y., Yang, Y., Li, S., Huang, Y., & Jiang, S. (2023). Flexible electronics for cardiovascular healthcare monitoring. *Innovation* (Cambridge (Mass.)), 4(5), 100485. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xinn.2023.100485

[20] Seferović, P. M., Ristić, A. D., Maksimović, R., & Maisch, B. (2013). Echocardiographic features in cardiac tamponade. Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography, 26(2), 144-151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.echo.2012. 11.01

[21] Tsang, T. S. M., Oh, J. K., Seward, J. B., & Tajik, A. J. (2007). Diagnostic value of echocardiography in cardiac tamponade. Mayo Clinic Proceedings, 82(2), 139-148. https://doi.org/10.4065/82.2.139

[22] Maisch, B., Seferović, P. M., Ristić, A. D., Erbel, R., Rienmüller, R., Adler, Y., & Tomkowski, W. Z. (2004). Guidelines on the diagnosis and management of pericardial diseases. European Heart Journal, 25(7), 587-610. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ehj.2004.02.002

[23] Goldstein, J. A., Evangelista, A., & Akkerhuis, J. (2007). Echocardiography in cardiac tamponade. European Heart Journal, 28(3), 234-245. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehl433

[24] Yetisen, A. K., Martinez-Hurtado, J. L., Ünal, B., Khademhosseini, A., & Butt, H. (2014). Wearables in medicine. Advanced Materials, 30(33), 5271-5303. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201305283

[25] Patel, S., Park, H., Bonato, P., Chan, L., & Rodgers, M. (2012). A review of wearable sensors and systems with application in rehabilitation. Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation, 9, 21. https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-9-21

[26] Koshy, A. N., Sajeev, J. K., Negishi, K., Wong, M. C., Pham, C. B., Cooray, S. P., Khavar, Y., Roberts, L., Cooke, J. C., & Teh, A. W. (2018). Accuracy of blinded clinician interpretation of single-lead smartphone electrocardiograms and

a proposed clinical workflow. *American Heart Journal*, *205*, 149–153. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2018.08.001

[27] Dagher, L., Shi, H., Zhao, Y., & Marrouche, N. F. (2020). Wearables in cardiology: Here to stay. *Heart Rhythm*, *17*(5), 889–895. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrthm.2020.02.023

[28] Bashar, S. K., Han, D., Hajeb-Mohammadalipour, S., Ding, E., Whitcomb, C., McManus, D. D., & Chon, K. H. (2019). Atrial fibrillation detection from wrist photoplethysmography signals using smartwatches. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-49092-2

[29] Cheng, J. W., & Mitomo, H. (2017). The underlying factors of the perceived usefulness of using smart wearable devices for disaster applications. *Telematics and Informatics*, *34*(2), 528–539. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.tele.2016.09.010

[30] Raja, J. M., Elsakr, C., Roman, S., Cave, B., Pour-Ghaz, I., Nanda, A., Maturana, M., & Khouzam, R. N. (2019). Apple Watch, wearables, and Heart Rhythm: Where do we stand? *Annals of Translational Medicine*, *7*(17), 417–417. https://doi.org/10.21037/atm.2019. 06.79

[31] Allen J. (2007). Photoplethysmography and its application in clinical physiological measurement. *Physiological measurement*, *28*(3), R1–R39. https://doi.org/10.1088/0967-3334/28/3/R01

[32] Arvidsson, D., Fridolfsson, J., & Börjesson, M. (2019). Measurement of physical activity in clinical practice using accelerometers. *Journal of internal medicine*, *286*(2), 137–153. https://doi.org/10.1111/joim.12908

[33] Trost, S. G., McIver, K. L., & Pate, R. R. (2005). Conducting accelerometer-based activity assessments in field-based research. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*, *37*(11 Suppl), S531–S543. https://doi.org/10.1249/01.mss.0000185657.86065.98

[34] Hu, J., Dun, G., Geng, X., Chen, J., Wu, X., & Ren, T. L. (2023). Recent progress in flexible micro-pressure sensors for wearable health monitoring. *Nanoscale advances*, *5*(12), 3131–3145. https://doi.org/10.1039/d2na00866a

[35] Tidrea, A., Korodi, A., & Silea, I. (2023). Elliptic Curve Cryptography Considerations for Securing Automation and SCADA Systems. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, *23*(5), 2686. https://doi.org/10.3390/s23052686

[36] Vijayan, V., Connolly, J. P., Condell, J., McKelvey, N., & Gardiner, P. (2021). Review of Wearable Devices and Data Collection Considerations for Connected Health. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, *21*(16), 5589. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21165589

[37] Ra, H., Ahn, J., Yoon, H., Yoon, D., Son, S.H., & Ko, J. (2017). I am a "Smart" watch, Smart Enough to Know the Accuracy of My Own Heart Rate Sensor. *Proceedings of the 18th International Workshop on Mobile Computing Systems and Applications*

[38] Chen, E., Jiang, J., Su, R., Gao, M., Zhu, S., Zhou, J., & Huo, Y. (2020). A new smart wristband equipped with an artificial intelligence algorithm to detect atrial fibrillation. *Heart rhythm*, *17*(5 Pt B), 847–853. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrthm.2020.01.03

[39] Bayoumy, K., Gaber, M., Elshafeey, A., Mhaimeed, O., Dineen, E. H., Marvel, F. A., Martin, S. S., Muse, E. D., Turakhia, M. P., Tarakji, K. G., & Elshazly, M. B. (2021). Smart wearable devices in cardiovascular care: where we are and how to move forward. *Nature reviews. Cardiology*, *18*(8), 581–599. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41569-021-00522-

[40] Smuck, M., Odonkor, C. A., Wilt, J. K., Schmidt, N., & Swiernik, M. A. (2021). The emerging clinical role of wearables: factors for successful implementation in healthcare. *NPJ Digital Medicine*, *4*(1), 45–45. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-021-00418-

[41] Prieto-Avalos, G., Cruz-Ramos, N. A., Alor-Hernández, G., Sánchez-Cervantes, J. L., Rodríguez-Mazahua, L., & Guarneros-Nolasco, L. R. (2022). Wearable Devices for Physical Monitoring of Heart: A Review. *Biosensors*, *12*(5), 292. https://doi.org/10.3390/bios1205029

[42] Izmailova, E. S., Wagner, J. A., & Perakslis, E. D. (2018). Wearable Devices in Clinical Trials: Hype and Hypothesis. *Clinical pharmacology and therapeutics*, *104*(1), 42–52. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpt.966

Citation: *Julia Vinagolu-Baur et al. Innovative Wearable Technology for Early Detection of Cardiac Tamponade. ARC Journal of Cardiology. 2025; 10(1):1-10. DOI: https://doi.org/10.20431/2456-0022.1001001*