Family of Circulant Graphs without Cayley Isomorphism Property with $m_i = 5$

v.	Vilfred	

Department of Mathematics St.Jude's College, Thoothoor – 629 176 Kanyakumari District, Tamil Nadu, India. *vilfredkamal@gmail.com* P. Wilson

Department of Mathematics S.T. Hindu College, Nagercoil – 629 002 Kanyakumari District, Tamil Nadu, India. *wilsonperapras@gmail.com*

Abstract: *A* circulant graph $C_n(R)$ is said to have the Cayley Isomorphism (CI) property if whenever $C_n(S)$ is isomorphic to $C_n(R)$, there is some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ for which S = aR. It is known that (i) for $2 \le n$, $3 \le k$, $1 \le 2s-1 \le 2n-1$, $n \ne 2s-1$, $R = \{2s-1, 4n-(2s-1), 2p_{1,2}p_{2,...}, 2p_{k-2}\}$ and $S = \{2n-(2s-1), 2n+2s-1, 2p_{1,2}p_{2,...}, 2p_{k-2}\}$, circulant graphs $C_{8n}(R)$ and $C_{8n}(S)$ are without CI-property with $m_i = 2$ and (ii) for $1 \le n$, $3 \le k$, $R = \{1, 9n-1, 9n+1, 3p_{1,3}p_{2,...,3}p_{k-2}\}$, $S = \{3n+1, 6n-1, 12n+1, 3p_{1,3}p_{2,...,3}p_{k-2}\}$ and $T = \{3n-1, 6n+1, 12n-1, 3p_{1,3}p_{2,...,3}p_{k-2}\}$, circulant graphs $C_{27n}(R)$, $C_{27n}(S)$ and $C_{27n}(T)$ are without CI-property $m_i = 3$ where $gcd(p_1, p_2, ..., p_{k-2}) = 1$ and $n, sp_1, p_2, ..., p_{k-2} \in N$. In this paper, we prove that for $1 \le n$, $3 \le k$, $1 \le 5, d_i = 5n(i-1)+1$ and $R_i = \{5, d_i, 25n-d_i, 25n+d_i, 50n-d_i, 50n+d_i, 5p_1, 5p_2, ..., 5p_{k-2}\}$, circulant graphs $C_{125n}(R_i)$ are without CI-property $m_j = 5$ where $m_i = gcd(n, r_i)$, $r_i \in R_i$, $gcd(p_1, p_2, ..., p_{k-2}) = 1$ and $n, p_1, p_2, ..., p_{k-2} \in N$.

AMS Subject Classification: 05C60, 05C25.

Keywords: Type-1 isomorphism, Type-2 isomorphism, Cayley Isomorphism (CI) property, symmetric equidistance condition, abelian groups $Ad_{125n}(C_{125n}(R), o)$ and $(V_{125n,5}(C_{125n}(R)), o)$, Type-1 group of $C_{125n}(R)$, Type-2 group on $C_{125n}(R)$ w.r.t. r = 5.

*Research supported in part by Lerroy Wilson Foundation, India (www.WillFoundation.co.in).

1 INTRODUCTION

Circulant graphs have been investigated by many authors [1]-[9]. An excellent account can be found in the book by Davis [2] and in [4].

Through-out this paper, for a set $R = \{r_1, r_2, ..., r_k\}$, $C_n(R)$ denotes circulant graph $C_n(r_1, r_2, ..., r_k)$ where $1 \le r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_k \le [n/2]$. We consider only connected circulant graphs of finite order, $V(C_n(R)) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, ..., v_{n-1}\}$ with v_i adjacent to v_{i+r} for each $r \in R$, subscript addition taken modulo nand all cycles have length at least 3, unless otherwise specified, $0 \le i \le n-1$. However when $\frac{n}{2} \in R$, edge $v_i v_{i+\frac{n}{2}}$ is taken as a single edge for considering the degree of the vertex v_i or $v_{i+\frac{n}{2}}$ and as a double edge while counting the number of edges or cycles $inC_n(R)$, $0 \le i \le n-1$. Circulant graph is also defined as a Cayley graph or digraph of a cyclic group. If a graph G is circulant, then its adjacency matrix A(G) is circulant. It follows that if the first row of the adjacency matrix of a circulant graph is $[a_1, a_2, ..., a_n]$, then $a_1 = 0$ and $a_i = a_{n-i+2}$, $2 \le i \le n$ [2], [8]. We will often assume, with-out further comment, that the vertices are the corners of a regular *n*-gon, labeled clockwise. Circulant graphs $C_{16}(1, 2, 7)$ and $C_{16}(2, 3, 5)$ are shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively.

THEOREM 1.1 [8] *If* $C_n(R) \cong C_n(S)$, then there is a bijection ffrom *R* to *S* so that for all $r \in R$, gcd(n, r) = gcd(n, f(r)).

DEFINITION 1.2 [5] A circulant graph $C_n(R)$ is said to have the *CI*-property if whenever $C_n(S)$ is isomorphic to $C_n(R)$, there is some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ for which S = aR.

LEMMA 1.3 [8] Let S be a non-empty subset of Z_n and $x \in Z_n$. Define a mapping $\Phi_{n,x}$: $S \rightarrow Z_n$ such that $\Phi_{n,x}(s) = x$ sfor every $s \in S$ under multiplication modulo n. Then $\Phi_{n,x}$ is bijective if and only if $S = Z_n$ and gcd(n, x) = 1.

DEFINITION 1.4 [1] Circulant graphs, $C_n(R)$ and $C_n(S)$ for $R = \{r_1, r_2, ..., r_k\}$ and $S = \{s_1, s_2, ..., s_k\}$ are *Adam's isomorphic*if there exists a positive integer *x* relatively prime to *n* with $S = \{xr_1, xr_2, ..., xr_k\}_n^*$ where $\langle r_i \rangle_n^*$, the *reflexive modular reduction* of a sequence $\langle r_i \rangle$ is the sequence obtained by reducing each r_i modulo *n* to yield r'_i and then replacing all resulting terms r'_i which are larger than $\frac{n}{2}$ by $n \cdot r'_i$ [1].

LEMMA 1.5 [8]Let $j,m,q,r,t,x \in Z_n$ such that gcd(n, r) = m > 1, x = j+qm, $0 \le j \le m-1$ and $0 \le q,t \le \frac{n}{m} - 1$. Then the mapping $\theta_{n,r,t}$: $Z_n \rightarrow Z_n$ defined by $\theta_{n,r,t}(x) = x+jtm$ for every $x \in Z_n$ under arithmetic modulo n is bijective.

THEOREM 1.6 [8]Let $V(C_n(R)) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}\}, V(K_n) = \{u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}\}, r \in Randj, m, q, t, x \in Z_n such that gcd(n, r) = m > 1, x = j+qm, 0 \leq j \leq m-1 and 0 \leq q, t \leq \frac{n}{m} -1$. Then the mapping $\theta_{n,r,t}$: $V(C_n(R)) \rightarrow V(C_n(1,2,\dots,n-1)) = V(K_n)$ defined by $\theta_{n,r,t}(v_x) = u_{x+jtm} and \theta_{n,r,t}((v_x, v_{x+s})) = (\theta_{n,r,t}(v_x), \theta_{n,r,t}(v_{x+s}))$ for every $x \in Z_n$ and $s \in R$, under subscript arithmetic modulo n, for a set $R = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k, n-r_{k-1}, \dots, r_1\}$ is one-to-one, preserves adjacency and $\theta_{n,r,t}(C_n(R)) \cong C_n(R)$ for $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{m} - 1$.

DEFINITION 1.7 [8] Let $V(C_n(R)) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$, $V(K_n) = \{u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}\}$, $r \in R$ and $j,m,q,t,x \in Z_n$ such that gcd(n, r) = m > 1, x = j+qm, $0 \le j \le m-1$ and $0 \le q,t \le \frac{n}{m} -1$. Define one-to-one mapping $\theta_{n,r,t}$: $V(C_n(R)) \rightarrow V(K_n)$ such that $\theta_{n,r,t}(v_x) = u_{x+jtm}$ and $\theta_{n,r,t}((v_x, v_{x+s})) = (\theta_{n,r,t}(v_x), \theta_{n,r,t}(v_{x+s}))$ for every $x \in Z_n$ and $s \in R$, under subscript arithmetic modulo n. And if for a particular value of t, $\theta_{n,r,t}(C_n(R)) = C_n(S)$ for some $S \subseteq [1, [n/2]]$ and $S \ne xR$ for all $x \in \Phi_n$ under reflexive modulo n, then $C_n(R)$ and $C_n(S)$ are called *Type-2isomorphiccirculant graphs w.r.t. r.*

DEFINITION 1.8 [8] The symmetric equidistance condition with respect to v_i in $C_n(R)$ for a set $R = \{r_1, r_2, ..., r_k\}$ is that v_{i+j} is adjacent to v_i if and only if v_{n-j+i} is adjacent to v_i , using subscript arithmetic modulo $n, 0 \le i, j \le n-1$.

THEOREM 1.9 [8]For a set $R = \{r_1, r_2, ..., r_k\} \subseteq [1, n/2], 1 \le i \le k and 0 \le t \le \frac{n}{m} -1, \theta_{n, r_i, t}(C_n(R)) = C_n(S)$ for some $S \subseteq [1, n/2]$ if and only if $\theta_{n, r_i, t}(C_n(R))$ satisfies the symmetric equidistance condition w.r.t. v_0 .

THEOREM 1.10 [8] For $2 \le n$, $3 \le k$, $1 \le 2s-1 \le 2n-1$, $n \ne 2s-1$, $R = \{2s-1, 4n-2s+1, 2p_1, 2p_2, ..., 2p_{k-2}\}$ and $S = \{2n-2s+1, 2n+2s-1, 2p_1, 2p_2, ..., 2p_{k-2}\}$, circulant graphs $C_{8n}(R)$ and $C_{8n}(S)$ are Type-2 isomorphic and without CI-property where $gcd(p_1, p_2, ..., p_{k-2}) = 1$ and $n, s, p_1, p_2, ..., p_{k-2} \in N$.

THEOREM 1.11 [9] For $3 \le k$, $R = \{1, 9n-1, 9n+1, 3p_{1}, 3p_{2}, ..., 3p_{k-2}\}$, $S = \{3n+1, 6n-1, 12n+1, 3p_{1}, 3p_{2}, ..., 3p_{k-2}\}$, and $T = \{3n-1, 6n+1, 12n-1, 3p_{1}, 3p_{2}, ..., 3p_{k-2}\}$, $C_{8n}(R)$ and $C_{8n}(S)$ are Type-2 isomorphic and without CI-property wheregcd $(p_{1}, p_{2}, ..., p_{k-2}) = 1$ and $n, p_{1}, p_{2}, ..., p_{k-2} \in N$.

THEOREM 1.12 [8] For $R = \{2, 2s-1, 2s'-1\}, 1 \le t \le [\frac{n}{2}], 1 \le 2s-1 < 2s'-1 \le [\frac{n}{2}] \text{ and } n, s, s', t \in N,$ if $C_n(R)$ and $\theta_{n,2,t}(C_n(R))$ are Type-2 isomorphic circulant graphs for somet, then $n \ge 0 \pmod{8}, 2s-1+2s'-1=\frac{n}{2}, t=\frac{n}{8}or\frac{3n}{8}, 2s'-1\neq \frac{n}{8}, 1\le 2s-1\le \frac{n}{4}$ and $16\le n$.

THEOREM 1.13 [8] Let $x \in Z_n$. Define mapping $\Phi_{n,x}$: $V(C_n(R)) \rightarrow V(K_n)$ for a set $R = \{r_1, r_2, ..., r_k, n-r_k, n-r_{k-1}, ..., n-r_1\}$ such that $\Phi_{n,x}(v_i) = u_{xi}$ and $\Phi_{n,x}((v_i , v_{i+s})) = (\Phi_{n,x}(v_i), \Phi_{n,x}(v_{i+s}))$ for every $s \in Randi \in Z_n$ under subscript arithmetic modulo n where $V(C_n(R)) = \{v_0, v_1, ..., v_{n-1}\}$ and $V(K_n) = \{u_0, u_1, ..., v_{n-1}\}$

 u_{n-1} . Then $\Phi_{n,x}(C_n(R)) = C_n(xR)$ and the mapping $\Phi_{n,x}$ is one-to-one if and only if gcd(n, x) = 1.

DEFINITION 1.14 [8] Let $Ad_n(C_n(R)) = T1_n(C_n(R)) = \{ \Phi_{n,x}(C_n(R)) : x \in \Phi_n \} = \{ C_n(xR) / x \in \Phi_n \}$ for a set $R = \{ r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k, n - r_k, n - r_{k-1}, \dots, n - r_1 \}$. Define 'o' in $Ad_n(C_n(R))$ such that $\Phi_{n,x}(C_n(R))$ o $\Phi_{n,y}(C_n(R)) = \Phi_{n,xy}(C_n(R))$ and $C_n(xR)$ o $C_n(yR) = C_n((xy)R)$ for every $x, y \in \Phi_n$, under arithmetic modulo *n*. Clearly, $Ad_n(C_n(R)) = (T1_n(C_n(R)))$, o) is the set of all circulant graphs which are Adam's isomorphic to $C_n(R)$ and $(Ad_n(C_n(R)))$, o) is an abelian group called *the Adam's group* or *the Type-1 group on* $C_n(R)$ under 'o'.

DEFINITION 1.15 [8] Let *S* be a non-empty subset of Z_n , $r \in S$, $m,q,t,t',x \in Z_n$ such that gcd(n, r) = m > 1, x = j+qm, $0 \le j \le m-1$ and $0 \le q,t,t' \le \frac{n}{m}$ -1. Define $\theta_{n,r,t}:Z_n \rightarrow Z_n$ such that $\theta_{n,r,t}(x) = x+jtm$ for every $x \in Z_n$ under arithmetic modulo n, $V_{n,r} = \{\theta_{n,r,t}: t = 0,1,...,\frac{n}{m} -1\}$ and for $s \in Z_n$, $V_{n,r}(s) = \{\theta_{n,r,t}(s): t = 0,1,...,\frac{n}{m} -1\}$ and $V_{n,r}(S) = \{V_{n,r}(s): s \in S\}$. Define 'o' in $V_{n,r}$ such that $\theta_{n,r,t}o \ \theta_{n,r,t'} = \theta_{n,r,t+t'}$ and $(\theta_{n,r,t}o \ \theta_{n,r,t'})(x) \ (= \theta_{n,r,t}(\theta_{n,r,t'}(x)) = \theta_{n,r,t}(x+jt'm) = (x+jt'm)+jtm = x+j(t+t')m) = \theta_{n,r,t+t'}(x)$ where t+t' is calculated under addition modulo $\frac{n}{m}$. Clearly, for every $s \in Z_n$, $(V_{n,r}(s), o)$ is an abelian group.

DEFINITION 1.16 [8] Let $V(C_n(R)) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, ..., v_{n-1}\}$, $V(K_n) = \{u_0, u_1, u_2, ..., u_{n-1}\}$, $r \in R$ and $j,m,q,t,x \in Z_n$ such that gcd(n, r) = m > 1, x = j+qm, $0 \le j \le m-1$ and $0 \le q, t \le \frac{n}{m} -1$. Define $\theta_{n,r,t}$: $V(C_n(R)) \rightarrow V(C_n(1,2,...,n-1)) = V(K_n)$ such that $\theta_{n,r,t}(v_x) = u_{x+jtm}$ and $\theta_{n,r,t}((v_x, v_{x+s})) = (\theta_{n,r,t}(v_x), \theta_{n,r,t}(v_{x+s}))$ for every $x \in Z_n$ and $s \in R$, under subscript arithmetic reflexive modulo n. Let $V_{n,r} = \{\theta_{n,r,t}: t = 0, 1, ..., \frac{n}{m} -1\}$ and $V_{n,r}(C_n(R)) = \{\theta_{n,r,t}(C_n(R)): t = 0, 1, ..., \frac{n}{m} -1\}$. Define 'o' in $V_{n,r}$ such that $\theta_{n,r,t}o = \theta_{n,r,t+t}$ and $\theta_{n,r,t}(C_n(R)) = \theta_{n,r,t+t}(C_n(R))$ for every $\theta_{n,r,t}, \theta_{n,r,t} \in V_{n,r}$ where t+t' is calculated under addition modulo $\frac{n}{m}$. Then $(V_{n,r}(C_n(R)), o)$ is an abelian group.

Clearly $V_{n,r}(C_n(R))$ contains all isomorphic circulant graphs of Type 2 of $C_n(R)$, if exist. Let $T2_{n,r}(C_n(R)) = \{C_n(R)\} \cup \{C_n(S): C_n(S) \text{ is Type-2 isomorphic to } C_n(R) \text{ w.r.t. } r\}$. Thus, $T2_{n,r}(C_n(R)) = \{C_n(R)\} \cup \{\theta_{n,r,t}(C_n(R)): \theta_{n,r,t}(C_n(R)) = C_n(S) \text{ and } C_n(S) \text{ is Type-2 isomorphic to } C_n(R) \text{ w.r.t. } r, 0 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{m} -1 \} \subseteq V_{n,r}(C_n(R)) \text{ and } (T2_{n,r}(C_n(R)), 0) \text{ is a subgroup of } (V_{n,r}(C_n(R)), 0).$ Clearly, $T1_n(C_n(R)) \cap T2_{n,r}(C_n(R)) = \{C_n(R)\}$. $C_n(R)$ has Type-2 isomorphic circulant graph w.r.t. r iff $T2_{n,r}(C_n(R)) \neq \{C_n(R)\}$ iff $T2_{n,r}(C_n(R)) \cap \{C_n(R)\} \neq \Phi$ iff $|T2_{n,r}(C_n(R))| > 1$.

Definition 1.17For any circulant graph $C_n(R)$, if $T2_{n,r}(C_n(R)) \neq \{C_n(R)\}$, then $(T2_{n,r}(C_n(R)), o)$ is called *the Type-2 group of* $C_n(R)$ *w.r.t.* runder 'o'.

Cayley Isomorphism (CI) *problem* determines which graphs (or which groups) have the CI-property and its investigation started with the investigation of isomorphism of circulant graphs. An important achievement is the complete classification of cyclic CI-groups by Muzychuk in 1997 [5],[6]. But study on non-CI-graphs is not much done. Type-2 isomorphic circulant graphs are clearly graphs without CI-property. Theorems 1.10 and 1.11 gave classes of circulant graphs without CI-property. In this paper Theorem 2.3 gives new class of circulant graphs without CI-property.

Effort to obtain more circulant graphs without CI-property is the motivation for this work. For all basic ideas in graph theory, we follow [3].

2 MAIN RESULT

THEOREM 2.1*Fori* = 1 to 5, $d_i = 5n(i-1)+1$ and $R_i = \{5, d_i, 25n-d_i, 25n+d_i, 50n-d_i, 50n+d_i\}$, *circulant graphs* $C_{125n}(R_i)$ are isomorphic circulant graphs, $n \in N$.

Proof:We prove that for i = 1 to 5, $d_i = 5n(i-1)+1$ and $R_i = \{5, d_i, 25n-d_i, 25n+d_i, 50n-d_i, 50n+d_i\}, \theta_{125n,5,in}(C_{125n}(R_1)) = C_{125n}(R_{i+1})$ where i+1 is calculated under addition modulo 5.

To simplify our calculation let us consider $R_i = \{5, d_i, 25n-d_i, 25n+d_i, 50n-d_i, 50n+d_i, 75n-d_i, 75n+d_i, 100n-d_i, 100n+d_i, 125n-d_i, 125n-5\}, d_i = 5n(i-1)+1$ and i = 1 to 5. In particular,

 $R_1 = \{1, 5, 25n-1, 25n+1, 50n-1, 50n+1, 75n-1, 75n+1, 100n-1, 100n+1, 125n-5, 125n-1\},\$

 $R_2 = \{5, 5n+1, 20n-1, 30n+1, 45n-1, 55n+1, 70n-1, 80n+1, 95n-1, 105n+1, 120n-1, 125n-5\},\$

 $R_3 = \{5, 10n+1, 15n-1, 35n+1, 40n-1, 60n+1, 65n-1, 85n+1, 90n-1, 110n+1, 115n-1, 125n-5\},\$

 $R_4 = \{5, 10n-1, 15n+1, 35n-1, 40n+1, 60n-1, 65n+1, 85n-1, 90n+1, 110n-1, 115n+1, 125n-5\},\$

 $R_5 = \{5, 5n-1, 20n+1, 30n-1, 45n+1, 55n-1, 70n+1, 80n-1, 95n+1, 105n-1, 120n+1, 125n-5\}.$

Using the definition of $\theta_{n,r,t}$ we get the following

 $\begin{array}{l} \theta_{125n,5,n}(R_1)=\theta_{125n,5,n}(\{1,\,5,\,25n-1,\,25n+1,\,50n-1,\,50n+1,\,75n-1,\,75n+1,\,100n-1,\,100n+1,\,125n-5,\,125n-1\})=\{5n+1,\,5,\,20n-1,\,30n+1,\,45n-1,\,55n+1,\,70n-1,\,80n+1,\,95n-1,\,105n+1,\,125n-5,\,120n-1\}=R_2; \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \theta_{125n,5,2n}(R_1) = \theta_{125n,5,2n}(\{1,\,5,\,25n\text{-}1,\,25n\text{+}1,\,50n\text{-}1,\,50n\text{+}1,\,75n\text{-}1,\,75n\text{+}1,\,100n\text{-}1,\,100n\text{+}1,\,125n\text{-}5,\,125n\text{-}1\}) = \{10n\text{+}1,\,5,\,15n\text{-}1,\,35n\text{+}1,\,40n\text{-}1,\,60n\text{+}1,\,65n\text{-}1,\,85n\text{+}1,\,90n\text{-}1,\,110n\text{+}1,\,125n\text{-}5,\,115n\text{-}1\}\} = R_3; \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \theta_{125n,5,3n}(R_1) = \theta_{125n,5,3n}(\{1,\,5,\,25n\text{-}1,\,25n\text{+}1,\,50n\text{-}1,\,50n\text{+}1,\,75n\text{-}1,\,75n\text{+}1,\,100n\text{-}1,\,100n\text{+}1,\,125n\text{-}5,\,125n\text{-}1\}) = \{15n\text{+}1,\,5,\,10n\text{-}1,\,40n\text{+}1,\,35n\text{-}1,\,65n\text{+}1,\,60n\text{-}1,\,90n\text{+}1,\,85n\text{-}1,\,115n\text{+}1,\,125n\text{-}5,\,110n\text{-}1\}\} = R_4; \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \theta_{125n,5,4n}(R_1)=\theta_{125n,5,4n}(\{1,\,5,\,25n\text{-}1,\,25n\text{+}1,\,50n\text{-}1,\,50n\text{+}1,\,75n\text{-}1,\,75n\text{+}1,\,100n\text{-}1,\,100n\text{+}1,\,125n\text{-}5,\,125n\text{-}1\})=\{20n\text{+}1,\,5,\,5n\text{-}1,\,45n\text{+}1,\,30n\text{-}1,\,70n\text{+}1,\,55n\text{-}1,\,95n\text{+}1,\,80n\text{-}1,\,120n\text{+}1,\,125n\text{-}5,\,105n\text{-}1\}\}=R_5.\end{array}$

Now the result follows from the definition of $\theta_{n,r,t}$.

THEOREM 2.2 When $R_i = \{5, d_i, 25n-d_i, 25n+d_i, 50n-d_i, 50n+d_i\}, d_i = 5n(i-1)+1, i, j = 1$ to 5 and $n \in N$, $\theta_{125n,5,jn}(C_{125n}(R_i)) = C_{125n}(R_{i+j})$ where i+jis calculated under addition modulo 5 and $C_{125n}(R_i)$ are Type-2 isomorphic circulant graphs.

Proof: To prove that a set of circulant graphs $\{C_n(R)\}\$ are of Type-2 isomorphic, it is enough to prove that every pair of the circulant graphs are different (not the same), isomorphic and not of Adam's isomorphic (not of Type-1 isomorphic).

When $R_i = \{5, d_i, 25n-d_i, 25n+d_i, 50n-d_i, 50n+d_i\}$, $d_i = 5n(i-1)+1$, $1 \le i,j \le 5$ and $n \in N$, $R_i = R_j$ iff i = j. Thus for different *i*, the set of jump sizes of the five circulant graphs $C_{125n}(R_i)$ are different and thereby the five circulant graphs are also different.

In the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have $\theta_{125n,5,in}(C_{125n}(R_1)) = C_{125n}(R_{i+1})$ where i+1 is calculated under addition modulo 5, i = 1 to 5. Similarly it is easy to prove that $\theta_{125n,5,in}(C_{125n}(R_2)) = C_{125n}(R_{i+2})$, $\theta_{125n,5,in}(C_{125n}(R_3)) = C_{125n}(R_{i+3})$, $\theta_{125n,5,in}(C_{125n}(R_4)) = C_{125n}(R_{i+4})$ and $\theta_{125n,5,in}(C_{125n}(R_5)) = C_{125n}(R_{i+5}) = C_{125n}(R_i)$ where $R_i = \{5, d_i, 25n-d_i, 25n+d_i, 50n-d_i, 50n+d_i\}$, $d_i = 5n(i-1)+1$, i=1 to 5 and $n \in N$. This implies when $R_i = \{5, d_i, 25n-d_i, 25n+d_i, 50n-d_i, 50n+d_i\}$, $d_i = 5n(i-1)+1$, i,j = 1 to 5 and $n \in N$, $\theta_{125n,5,in}(C_{125n}(R_j)) = C_{125n}(R_{i+j})$ where i+j is calculated under addition modulo 5. This implies that for i = 1 to 5 all the five circulant graphs $C_{125n}(R_i)$ are isomorphic.

To complete the proof we are left with establishing their isomorphism is of Type-2. Now it is enough to prove that each pair of isomorphic circulant graphs $C_{125n}(R_i)$ and $C_{125n}(R_j)$ for $i \neq j$ are not of Type-1, $1 \leq i,j \leq 5$. At first we prove that isomorphic circulant graphs $C_{125n}(R_1)$ and $C_{125n}(R_2)$ are Type-2.

Claim: For $R_1 = \{1, 5, 25n-1, 25n+1, 50n-1, 50n+1\}$, $R_2 = \{5, 5n+1, 20n-1, 30n+1, 45n-1, 55n+1\}$ and $n \in N$, $C_{125n}(R_1)$ and $C_{125n}(R_2)$ are Type-2 isomorphic.

If not, they are of Adam's isomorphic. This implies, there exists $s \in N$ such that $C_{125n}(sR_1) = C_{125n}(R_2)$ where s = 5x-4 or s = 5x-3 or s = 5x-2 or s = 5x-1 and gcd(125n, s) = 1, $x \in N$. Now let us choose *s* such that s = 5x-4 such that gcd(125n, 5x-4) = 1, $C_{125n}((5x - 4)R_1) = C_{125n}(R_2)$ and $x \in N$. This implies, $(5x-4)\{1, 5, 25n-1, 25n+1, 50n-1, 50n+1, 75n-1, 75n+1, 100n-1, 100n+1, 125n-5, 125n-1\} = \{5, 5n+1, 20n-1, 30n+1, 45n-1, 55n+1, 70n-1, 80n+1, 95n-1, 105n+1, 120n-1, 125n-5\}$ under arithmetic modulo 125*n*. This implies, 5(5x-4), (5x-4)(125n-5), $5+125np_1$ and $125n-5+125np_2$ are the only numbers, each is a multiple of 5, in the two sets for some $p_1, p_2 \in N_0$. Thus when s = 5x-4 the following two cases arise.

Case i $5(5x-4) = 5+125np_1$, $p_1 \in N_0$, $x \in N$, $1 \le 5x-4 \le 125n-1$.

In this case, $p_1 = 0$ or 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 since $1 \le 5x - 4 \le 125n - 1$ and $n, x \in N$. When $p_1 = 0$, 5x - 4 = 1; $p_1 = 1$, 5x - 4 = 25n + 1; $p_1 = 2$, 5x - 4 = 50n + 1; $p_1 = 3$, 5x - 4 = 75n + 1; $p_1 = 4$, 5x - 4 = 100n + 1 and in each case, graph $C_{125n}((5x - 4)R_1)$ is same as $C_{125n}(R_1)$. The jump sizes of the circulant graph $C_{125n}(sR_1)$

corresponding to Adam's isomorphism when s = 5x-4 = 25n+1, s = 5x-4 = 50n+1, s = 5x-4 = 75n+1 and s = 5x-4 = 100n+1 are given in Table 1.

Case ii $5(5x-4) = 125n-5+125np_2$, $p_2 \in N_0$, $x \in N$, $1 \le 5x-4 \le 125n-1$.

In this case, $p_2 = 0$ or 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 since $1 \le 5x-4 \le 125n-1$ and $n,x \in N$. When $p_2 = 0$, 5x-4 = 25n-1; $p_2 = 1$, 5x-4 = 50n-1; $p_2 = 2$, 5x-4 = 75n-1; $p_2 = 3$, 5x-4 = 100n-1; $p_2 = 2$, 5x-4 = 125n-1 and in each case, graph $C_{125n}((5x-4)R_1)$ is same as $C_{125n}(R_1)$. The jump sizes of the circulant graph $C_{125n}(sR_1)$ corresponding to Adam's isomorphism when s = 5x-4 = 25n-1, s = 5x-4 = 50n-1, s = 5x-4 = 75n-1, s = 5x-4 = 100n-1 and s = 5x-4 = 125n-1 are given in Table 1.

Consider the case when s = 5x-3 such that $C_{125n}(sR_1) = C_{125n}(R_2)$ where $gcd(125n,5x-3) = 1, 1 \le 5x-3 \le 125n-1$ and $x \in N$. This implies, $(5x-3)\{1, 5, 25n-1, 25n+1, 50n-1, 50n+1, 75n-1, 75n+1, 100n-1, 100n+1, 125n-5, 125n-1\} = \{5, 5n+1, 20n-1, 30n+1, 45n-1, 55n+1, 70n-1, 80n+1, 95n-1, 105n+1, 120n-1, 125n-5\}$ under arithmetic modulo 125n. This implies, $5(5x-3), (5x-3)(125n-5), 5+125np_1$ and $125n-5+125np_2$ are the only numbers, each is a multiple of 5, in the two sets for some $p_1, p_2 \in N_0$. Thus when s = 5x-3 the following two cases arise.

sr	1	25n-1	25 <i>n</i> +1	50n-1	50 <i>n</i> +1	75n-1	75 <i>n</i> +1	100 <i>n</i> -1	100 <i>n</i> +1	125 <i>n</i> -1
25 <i>n</i> +1	25 <i>n</i> +1	125 <i>n</i> -1	50 <i>n</i> +1	25 <i>n</i> -1	75 <i>n</i> +1	50 <i>n</i> -1	100 <i>n</i> +1	75 <i>n</i> -1	1	100 <i>n</i> -1
50 <i>n</i> +1	50 <i>n</i> +1	100 <i>n</i> -1	75 <i>n</i> +1	125 <i>n</i> -1	100 <i>n</i> +1	25 <i>n</i> -1	1	50 <i>n</i> -1	25 <i>n</i> +1	75 <i>n</i> -1
75 <i>n</i> +1	75 <i>n</i> +1	75 <i>n</i> -1	100 <i>n</i> +1	100 <i>n</i> -1	1	125 <i>n</i> -1	25 <i>n</i> +1	25 <i>n</i> -1	50 <i>n</i> +1	50 <i>n</i> -1
100 <i>n</i> +1	100 <i>n</i> +1	50 <i>n</i> -1	1	75 <i>n</i> -1	25 <i>n</i> +1	100 <i>n</i> -1	50 <i>n</i> +1	125 <i>n</i> -1	75 <i>n</i> +1	25 <i>n</i> -1
25 <i>n</i> -1	25 <i>n</i> -1	75 <i>n</i> +1	125 <i>n</i> -1	50 <i>n</i> +1	100 <i>n</i> -1	25 <i>n</i> +1	75 <i>n</i> -1	1	50 <i>n</i> -1	100 <i>n</i> +1
50 <i>n</i> -1	50 <i>n</i> -1	50 <i>n</i> +1	25 <i>n</i> -1	25 <i>n</i> +1	125 <i>n</i> -1	1	100 <i>n</i> -1	100 <i>n</i> +1	75 <i>n</i> -1	75 <i>n</i> +1
75 <i>n</i> -1	75 <i>n</i> -1	25 <i>n</i> +1	50 <i>n</i> -1	1	25 <i>n</i> -1	100 <i>n</i> +1	125 <i>n</i> -1	75 <i>n</i> +1	100 <i>n</i> -1	50 <i>n</i> +1
100 <i>n</i> -1	100 <i>n</i> -1	1	75 <i>n</i> -1	100 <i>n</i> +1	50 <i>n</i> -1	25 <i>n</i> +1	25 <i>n</i> -1	50 <i>n</i> +1	125 <i>n</i> -1	25 <i>n</i> +1
125n-1	125 <i>n</i> -1	100 <i>n</i> +1	100 <i>n</i> -1	75 <i>n</i> +1	75 <i>n</i> -1	50 <i>n</i> +1	50 <i>n</i> -1	25 <i>n</i> +1	25 <i>n</i> -1	1

Table 1.*Calculation of rs under arithmetic modulo* $125n w.r.t. R_1$ where s = 5x-i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4.

Table 2.*Calculation of rs under arithmetic modulo* 125n *w.r.t.* R_2 *where* s = 5x*-i,* i = 1,2,3,4.

SK .	5 <i>n</i> +1	20 <i>n</i> -1	30 <i>n</i> +1	45 <i>n</i> -1	55 <i>n</i> +1	70 <i>n</i> -1	80 <i>n</i> +1	95 <i>n</i> -1	105 <i>n</i> +1	120n-1
25 <i>n</i> +1	30 <i>n</i> +1	120 <i>n</i> -1	55 <i>n</i> +1	20 <i>n</i> -1	80 <i>n</i> +1	45 <i>n</i> -1	105 <i>n</i> +1	70 <i>n</i> -1	5 <i>n</i> +1	95 <i>n</i> -1
50 <i>n</i> +1	55 <i>n</i> +1	95 <i>n</i> -1	80 <i>n</i> +1	120 <i>n</i> -1	105 <i>n</i> +1	20 <i>n</i> -1	5 <i>n</i> +1	45 <i>n</i> -1	30 <i>n</i> +1	70 <i>n</i> -1
75 <i>n</i> +1	80 <i>n</i> +1	70 <i>n</i> -1	105 <i>n</i> +1	95 <i>n</i> -1	5 <i>n</i> +1	120 <i>n</i> -1	30 <i>n</i> +1	20 <i>n</i> -1	55 <i>n</i> +1	45 <i>n</i> -1
100 <i>n</i> +1	105 <i>n</i> +1	45 <i>n</i> -1	5 <i>n</i> +1	70 <i>n</i> -1	25 <i>n</i> +1	95 <i>n</i> -1	55 <i>n</i> +1	120 <i>n</i> -1	80 <i>n</i> +1	20 <i>n</i> -1
25 <i>n</i> -1	20 <i>n</i> -1	80 <i>n</i> +1	120 <i>n</i> -1	55 <i>n</i> +1	95 <i>n</i> -1	30 <i>n</i> +1	70 <i>n</i> -1	5 <i>n</i> +1	45 <i>n</i> -1	105 <i>n</i> +1
50 <i>n</i> -1	45 <i>n</i> -1	55 <i>n</i> +1	20 <i>n</i> -1	30 <i>n</i> +1	120 <i>n</i> -1	5 <i>n</i> +1	95 <i>n</i> -1	105 <i>n</i> +1	70 <i>n</i> -1	80 <i>n</i> +1
75 <i>n</i> -1	70 <i>n</i> -1	30 <i>n</i> +1	45 <i>n</i> -1	5 <i>n</i> +1	20 <i>n</i> -1	105 <i>n</i> +1	120 <i>n</i> -1	80 <i>n</i> +1	95 <i>n</i> -1	55 <i>n</i> +1
100 <i>n</i> -1	95 <i>n</i> -1	5 <i>n</i> +1	70 <i>n</i> -1	105 <i>n</i> +1	45 <i>n</i> -1	80 <i>n</i> +1	20 <i>n</i> -1	55 <i>n</i> +1	120 <i>n</i> -1	30 <i>n</i> +1
125 <i>n</i> -1	120 <i>n</i> -1	105 <i>n</i> +1	95 <i>n</i> -1	80 <i>n</i> +1	70 <i>n</i> -1	55 <i>n</i> +1	45 <i>n</i> -1	30 <i>n</i> +1	20 <i>n</i> -1	5 <i>n</i> +1

sr	10 <i>n</i> +1	15n-1	35 <i>n</i> +1	40 <i>n</i> -1	60 <i>n</i> +1	65 <i>n</i> -1	85 <i>n</i> +1	90 <i>n</i> -1	110 <i>n</i> +1	115 <i>n</i> -1
25 <i>n</i> +1	35 <i>n</i> +1	115 <i>n</i> -1	60 <i>n</i> +1	15 <i>n</i> -1	85 <i>n</i> +1	40 <i>n</i> -1	110 <i>n</i> +1	65 <i>n</i> -1	10 <i>n</i> +1	90 <i>n</i> -1
50 <i>n</i> +1	60 <i>n</i> +1	90 <i>n</i> -1	85 <i>n</i> +1	115 <i>n</i> -1	110 <i>n</i> +1	15 <i>n</i> -1	10 <i>n</i> +1	40 <i>n</i> -1	35 <i>n</i> +1	65 <i>n</i> -1
75 <i>n</i> +1	85 <i>n</i> +1	65 <i>n</i> -1	110 <i>n</i> +1	90 <i>n</i> -1	10 <i>n</i> +1	115 <i>n</i> -1	35 <i>n</i> +1	15 <i>n</i> -1	60 <i>n</i> +1	40 <i>n</i> -1
100 <i>n</i> +1	110 <i>n</i> +1	40 <i>n</i> -1	10 <i>n</i> +1	65 <i>n</i> -1	35 <i>n</i> +1	90 <i>n</i> -1	60 <i>n</i> +1	115 <i>n</i> -1	85 <i>n</i> +1	15 <i>n</i> -1
25 <i>n</i> -1	15 <i>n</i> -1	85 <i>n</i> +1	115 <i>n</i> -1	60 <i>n</i> +1	90 <i>n</i> -1	35 <i>n</i> +1	65 <i>n</i> -1	10 <i>n</i> +1	40 <i>n</i> -1	110 <i>n</i> +1
50 <i>n</i> -1	40 <i>n</i> -1	60 <i>n</i> +1	15 <i>n</i> -1	35 <i>n</i> +1	115 <i>n</i> -1	10 <i>n</i> +1	90 <i>n</i> -1	110 <i>n</i> +1	65 <i>n</i> -1	85 <i>n</i> +1
75n-1	65 <i>n</i> -1	35 <i>n</i> +1	40 <i>n</i> -1	10 <i>n</i> +1	15 <i>n</i> -1	110 <i>n</i> +1	115 <i>n</i> -1	85 <i>n</i> +1	90 <i>n</i> -1	60 <i>n</i> +1
100 <i>n</i> -1	90 <i>n</i> -1	10 <i>n</i> +1	65 <i>n</i> -1	110 <i>n</i> +1	40 <i>n</i> -1	85 <i>n</i> +1	15 <i>n</i> -1	60 <i>n</i> +1	115 <i>n</i> -1	35 <i>n</i> +1
125 <i>n</i> -1	115 <i>n</i> -1	110 <i>n</i> +1	90 <i>n</i> -1	85 <i>n</i> +1	65 <i>n</i> -1	60 <i>n</i> +1	40 <i>n</i> -1	35 <i>n</i> +1	15 <i>n</i> -1	10 <i>n</i> +1

Table 3.*Calculation of rs under arithmetic modulo* 125n w.r.t. R_3 where s = 5x-i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4.

Case i $5(5x-3) = 5+125np_1$, $p_1 \in N_0$, $x \in N$, $1 \le 5x-3 \le 125n-1$.

In this case, $p_1 = 0$ or 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 since $1 \le 5x-3 \le 125n-1$ and $n,x \in N$. When $p_1 = 0$, 5x-3 = 1; $p_1 = 1$, 5x-3 = 25n+1; $p_1 = 2$, 5x-3 = 50n+1; $p_1 = 3$, 5x-3 = 75n+1; $p_1 = 4$, 5x-3 = 100n+1 and in each case, graph $C_{125n}((5x-3)R_1)$ is same as graph $C_{125n}(R_1)$. The jump sizes of the circulant graph $C_{125n}(sR_1)$ corresponding to Adam's isomorphism when s = 5x-3 = 25n+1, s = 5x-3 = 50n+1, s = 5x-3 = 75n+1 and s = 5x-3 = 100n+1 are given in Table 1.

Case ii $5(5x-3) = 125n-5+125np_2$, $p_2 \in N_0$, $x \in N$, $1 \le 5x-3 \le 125n-1$.

In this case, $p_2 = 0$ or 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 since $1 \le 5x-3 \le 125n-1$ and $n,x \in N$. When $p_2 = 0$, 5x-3 = 25n-1; $p_2 = 1$, 5x-3 = 50n-1; $p_2 = 2$, 5x-3 = 75n-1; $p_2 = 3$, 5x-3 = 100n-1; $p_2 = 4$, 5x-3 = 125n-1 and in each case, graph $C_{125n}((5x-3)R_1)$ is same as $C_{125n}(R_1)$. The jump sizes of the circulant graph $C_{125n}(sR_1)$ corresponding to Adam's isomorphism when s = 5x-3 = 25n-1, s = 5x-3 = 50n-1, s = 5x-3 = 75n-1, s = 5x-3 = 100n-1 and s = 5x-3 = 125n-1 are given in Table 1.

Similarly when s = 5x-2 and s = 5x-1 it is easy to see that $C_{125n}((5x-2)R_1) = C_{125n}(R_1)$ and $C_{125n}((5x-1)R_1) = C_{125n}(R_1)$. Thus $C_{125n}(sR_1) = C_{125n}(R_1)$ when s = 5x-4 or s = 5x-3 or s = 5x-2 or s = 5x-1 where gcd(125n, s) = 1 and $n, x \in N$. This implies $C_{125n}(sR_1) \neq C_{125n}(R_2)$ for every $s \in N$ such that gcd(125n, s) = 1 and $n \in N$.

This shows that the isomorphic circulant graphs $C_{125n}(R_1)$ and $C_{125n}(R_2)$ for $R_1 = \{1, 5, 25n-1, 25n+1, 50n-1, 50n+1\}$, $R_2 = \{5, 5n+1, 20n-1, 30n+1, 45n-1, 55n+1\}$ are not of Type-1, $n \in N$. This implies, for $R_1 = \{1, 5, 25n-1, 25n+1, 50n-1, 50n+1\}$, $R_2 = \{5, 5n+1, 20n-1, 30n+1, 45n-1, 55n+1\}$ and $n \in N$, $C_{125n}(R_1)$ and $C_{125n}(R_2)$ are Type-2 isomorphic.

By similar discussion and calculation it is easy to prove that circulant graphs $C_{125n}(R_1)$ and $C_{125n}(R_j)$ are Type-2 isomorphic for j = 3,4,5. Thus we could prove that $C_{125n}(R_1)$ and $C_{125n}(R_j)$ are Type-2 isomorphic for j = 2,3,4,5. Table-*i* corresponds to calculation of *rs* under arithmetic modulo 125n w.r.t R_i and R_{j+1} for j = i,i+1,...,4 and i = 1,2,3,4.

The above discussion and calculations prove that circulant graphs $C_{125n}(R_i)$ and $C_{125n}(R_j)$ for $i \neq j$ are Type-2 isomorphic, i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. Hence the result follows. \Box

THEOREM 2.3 For i = 1 to 5, $d_i = 5n(i-1)+1$, $3 \le kandR_i = \{d_i, 25n-d_i, 25n+d_i, 50n-d_i, 50n+d_i, 5p_1, 5p_2, ..., 5p_{k-2}\}$, circulant graphs $C_{125n}(R_i)$ are Type-2 isomorphic and without CI-property where $gcd(p_1, p_2, ..., p_{k-2}) = 1$ and $n, p_1, p_2, ..., p_{k-2} \in N$.

Proof: For i = 1 to 5, $d_i = 5n(i-1)+1$, $3 \le k$ and $R_i = \{5, d_i, 25n-d_i, 25n+d_i, 50n-d_i, 50n+d_i\}$, circulant graphs $C_{125n}(R_i)$ are Type-2 isomorphic, using Theorem 2.2, $n \in N$. Lemma 1.5 helps us while searching for possible value(s) of t such that the transformed graph $\theta_{n,r,t}(C_n(R))$ is circulant of the

International Journal of Scientific and Innovative Mathematical Research (IJSIMR)

form $C_n(S)$ for some $S \subseteq [1, n/2]$, the calculation on r_j which are integer multiples of $m = \gcd(n,r)$ need not be done as there is no change in these r_j under the transformation $\theta_{n,r,t}$. This implies, for i = 1 to 5, $d_i = 5n(i-1)+1$ and $R_i = \{d_i, 25n-d_i, 25n+d_i, 50n-d_i, 50n+d_i, 5p_1, 5p_2, \dots, 5p_{k-2}\}$, circulant graphs $C_{125n}(R_i)$ are Type-2 isomorphic circulant graphs where $3 \le k$, $gcd(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{k-2}) = 1$ and $n, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{k-2} \in N$. Type-2 isomorphic circulant graphs are graphs without CI-property. Hence the result follows.

SK	10 <i>n</i> -1	15 <i>n</i> +1	35n-1	40 <i>n</i> +1	60 <i>n</i> -1	65 <i>n</i> +1	85 <i>n</i> -1	90 <i>n</i> +1	110n-1	115 <i>n</i> +1
25 <i>n</i> +1	110 <i>n</i> -1	40 <i>n</i> +1	10 <i>n</i> -1	65 <i>n</i> +1	35 <i>n</i> -1	90 <i>n</i> +1	60 <i>n</i> -1	115 <i>n</i> +1	85 <i>n</i> -1	15 <i>n</i> +1
50 <i>n</i> +1	85 <i>n</i> -1	65 <i>n</i> +1	110 <i>n</i> -1	90 <i>n</i> +1	10 <i>n</i> -1	115 <i>n</i> +1	35 <i>n</i> -1	15 <i>n</i> +1	60 <i>n</i> -1	40 <i>n</i> +1
75 <i>n</i> +1	60 <i>n</i> -1	90 <i>n</i> +1	85 <i>n</i> -1	115 <i>n</i> +1	110 <i>n</i> -1	15 <i>n</i> +1	10 <i>n</i> -1	40 <i>n</i> +1	35 <i>n</i> -1	65 <i>n</i> +1
100 <i>n</i> +1	35 <i>n</i> -1	115 <i>n</i> +1	60 <i>n</i> -1	15 <i>n</i> +1	85 <i>n</i> -1	40 <i>n</i> +1	110 <i>n</i> -1	65 <i>n</i> +1	10 <i>n</i> -1	90 <i>n</i> +1
25 <i>n</i> -1	90 <i>n</i> +1	10 <i>n</i> -1	65 <i>n</i> +1	110 <i>n</i> -1	40 <i>n</i> +1	85 <i>n</i> -1	15 <i>n</i> +1	60 <i>n</i> -1	115 <i>n</i> +1	35 <i>n</i> -1
50 <i>n</i> -1	65 <i>n</i> +1	35 <i>n</i> -1	40 <i>n</i> +1	10 <i>n</i> -1	15 <i>n</i> +1	110 <i>n</i> -1	115 <i>n</i> +1	85 <i>n</i> -1	90 <i>n</i> +1	60 <i>n</i> -1
75n-1	40 <i>n</i> +1	60 <i>n</i> -1	15 <i>n</i> +1	35 <i>n</i> -1	115 <i>n</i> +1	10 <i>n</i> -1	90 <i>n</i> +1	110 <i>n</i> -1	65 <i>n</i> +1	85 <i>n</i> -1
100 <i>n</i> -1	15 <i>n</i> +1	85 <i>n</i> -1	115 <i>n</i> +1	60 <i>n</i> -1	90 <i>n</i> +1	35 <i>n</i> -1	65 <i>n</i> +1	10 <i>n</i> -1	40 <i>n</i> +1	110 <i>n</i> -1
125 <i>n</i> -1	115 <i>n</i> +1	110 <i>n</i> -1	90 <i>n</i> +1	85 <i>n</i> -1	65 <i>n</i> +1	60 <i>n</i> -1	40 <i>n</i> +1	35 <i>n</i> -1	15 <i>n</i> +1	10 <i>n</i> -1

Table 4.*Calculation of rs under arithmetic modulo* 125n *w.r.t.* R_4 *where* s = 5x-*i*, i = 1,2,3,4.

Table 5 Calculation	of <i>rs</i> under	arithmetic	modulo	$125n$ w.r.t. R_{r}	where $s = 5x - i$	i = 1.2.3.4
- abie e Calealation	or is ander		1110 4 410	12010 000000		,,_,_,.

Circulant	graph	as C_{12}	5(1,5,24,2	6,49,51),	C_{125}	(5,6,19,	31,44,56	C_1	25(5,11,14	1,36,39,61
SK	5 <i>n</i> -1	20 <i>n</i> +1	30 <i>n</i> -1	45 <i>n</i> +1	55 <i>n</i> -1	70 <i>n</i> +1	80 <i>n</i> -1	95 <i>n</i> +1	105 <i>n</i> -1	120 <i>n</i> +1
25 <i>n</i> +1	105 <i>n</i> -1	45 <i>n</i> +1	5 <i>n</i> -1	70 <i>n</i> +1	30 <i>n</i> -1	95 <i>n</i> +1	55 <i>n</i> -1	120 <i>n</i> +1	80 <i>n</i> -1	20 <i>n</i> +1
50 <i>n</i> +1	80 <i>n</i> -1	70 <i>n</i> +1	105 <i>n</i> -1	95 <i>n</i> +1	5 <i>n</i> -1	120 <i>n</i> +1	30 <i>n</i> -1	20 <i>n</i> +1	55 <i>n</i> -1	45 <i>n</i> +1
75 <i>n</i> +1	55 <i>n</i> -1	95 <i>n</i> +1	80 <i>n</i> -1	120 <i>n</i> +1	105 <i>n</i> -1	20 <i>n</i> +1	5 <i>n</i> -1	45 <i>n</i> +1	30 <i>n</i> -1	70 <i>n</i> +1
100 <i>n</i> +1	30 <i>n</i> -1	120 <i>n</i> +1	55n-1	20 <i>n</i> +1	80 <i>n</i> -1	45 <i>n</i> +1	105 <i>n</i> -1	70 <i>n</i> +1	5 <i>n</i> -1	95 <i>n</i> +1
25 <i>n</i> -1	95 <i>n</i> +1	5 <i>n</i> -1	70 <i>n</i> +1	105 <i>n</i> -1	45 <i>n</i> +1	80 <i>n</i> -1	20 <i>n</i> +1	55 <i>n</i> -1	120 <i>n</i> +1	30 <i>n</i> -1
50 <i>n</i> -1	70 <i>n</i> +1	30 <i>n</i> -1	45 <i>n</i> +1	5 <i>n</i> -1	20 <i>n</i> +1	105 <i>n</i> -1	120 <i>n</i> +1	80 <i>n</i> -1	95 <i>n</i> +1	55n-1
75 <i>n</i> -1	45 <i>n</i> +1	55 <i>n</i> -1	20 <i>n</i> +1	30 <i>n</i> -1	120 <i>n</i> +1	5 <i>n</i> -1	95 <i>n</i> +1	105 <i>n</i> -1	70 <i>n</i> +1	80 <i>n</i> -1
100 <i>n</i> -1	20 <i>n</i> +1	80 <i>n</i> -1	120 <i>n</i> +1	55 <i>n</i> -1	95 <i>n</i> +1	30 <i>n</i> -1	70 <i>n</i> +1	5 <i>n</i> -1	45 <i>n</i> +1	105 <i>n</i> -1
125 <i>n</i> -1	120 <i>n</i> +1	105 <i>n</i> -1	95 <i>n</i> +1	80 <i>n</i> -1	70 <i>n</i> +1	55 <i>n</i> -1	45 <i>n</i> +1	30 <i>n</i> -1	20 <i>n</i> +1	5 <i>n</i> -1

 $C_{125}(5,9,16,34,41,66) = C_{125}(5,9,16,34,41,59)$ and $C_{125}(4,5,21,29,71,76) = C_{125}(4,5,21,29,49,54)$ are isomorphic and are of Type 2.

THEOREM 2.4*Fori* = 1 to 5, $d_i = 5n(i-1)+1$, $3 \le kandR_i = \{d_i, 25n-d_i, 25n+d_i, 50n-d_i, 50n+d_i, 5p_{1,5}p_{2,...,5}p_{k-2}\}$, $(V_{125n,5}(C_{125n}(R_i)), o)$ is an abelian group where $gcd(p_1, p_2, ..., p_{k-2}) = 1$, $n, p_1, p_2, ..., p_{k-2} \ge N$.

Proof: The result follows from Theorem 2.3 and definition of $V_{n,r}$.

Let $C_{125}(1,5,24,26,49,51) = R_1$, $C_{125}(5,6,19,31,44,56) = R_2$, $C_{125}(5,11,14,36,39,61) = R_3$, $C_{125}(5,9,16,34,41,66) = C_{125}(5,9,16,34,41,59) = R_4$ and $C_{125}(4,5,21,29,71,76) = R_4$

International Journal of Scientific and Innovative Mathematical Research (IJSIMR)

 $C_{125}(4,5,21,29,49,54) = R_5$. Then the corresponding Type 2 group is $(T2_{125,5}(C_{125}(R_i)), o)$ where $T2_{125,5}(C_{125}(R_i)) = \{R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, R_5\}$ for i = 1,2,3,4,5.

Open Problem Find $T2_{125n,5}(C_{125n}(R_i))$ when $R_i = \{d_i, 25n-d_i, 25n+d_i, 50n-d_i, 50n+d_i, 5p_{1,5}p_{2,...,5}p_{k-2}\}, 1 \le i \le 5, d_i = 5n(i-1)+1, 3 \le k, gcd(p_1, p_2, ..., p_{k-2}) = 1, n, p_1, p_2, ..., p_{k-2} \in N.$

3 CONCLUSION

In this paper and in [12], [14], we obtained families of isomorphic circulant graphs of Type-2 (and without CI-property), each with 2, 3 or 5 copies of isomorphic circulant subgraphs. One can go for general result on circulant graphs with $m_i = \gcd(n, r_i)$ is odd and > 5.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We express our sincere thanks to Prof. L.W. Beineke, Indiana-Purdue University, U.S., Prof. B. Alspach, University of Newcastle, Australia, Prof. M.I. Jinnah, University of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram, India and Prof. V. Mohan, Thiyagarajar College of Engineering, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India for their valuable suggestions and guidance. We also express our gratitude to Lerroy Wilson Foundation, India (www.WillFoundation.co.in) for providing financial assistance to do this research work.

REFERENCES

- [1]. A. Adam, Research problem 2–10, J. Combinatorial Theory, 3 (1967), 393.
- [2]. B. Alspach, J. Morris and V. Vilfred, Self-complementary circulant graphs, *Ars Com.*, **53** (1999), 187-191.
- [3]. P.J. Davis, Circulant Matrices, Wiley, New York, 1979.
- [4]. B. Elspas and J. Turner, Graphs with circulant adjacency matrices, J. Combinatorial Theory, **9** (1970), 297-307.
- [5]. F. Harary, Graph Theory, Addison Wesley, Reading, MA, 1969.
- [6]. I. Kra and S. R. Simanca, On Circulant Matrices, AMS Notices, 59 (2012), 368-377.
- [7]. C. H. Li, On isomorphisms of finite Cayley graphs a survey. Discrete Math. **256** (2002), 301–334.
- [8]. J. Morris, Automorphism groups of circulant graphs a survey, arXiv: math/ 0411302v1 [math.CO], 13 Nov. 2004.
- [9]. M. Muzychuk, On Adam's Conjecture for circulant graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **167/168** (1997), 497-510.
- [10].V. Vilfred, Σ -labelled Graphs and Circulant Graphs, *Ph.D. Thesis*, University of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram, India, March 1994.
- [11]. V. Vilfred, A Theory of Cartesians Product and Factorization of Circulant Graphs, *Hindawi Pub. Corp. J. Discrete Math.*, Vol. 2013, Article ID 163740, 10 pages.
- [12]. V. Vilfred, New Abelian Groups from Isomorphism of Circulant Graphs, Proce. of Inter. Conf. on Applied Math. and Theoretical Computer Sci., St. Xavier's Catholic Engineering College, Nagercoil, Tamil Nadu, India (2013), xiii-xvi. ISBN 978-93-82338-30-7.
- [13]. V. Vilfred, On Circulant Graphs in Graph Theory and its Applications, Narosa Publ., New Delhi, India (2003), 34-36. ISBN 81-7319-569-2.
- [14].V. Vilfred and P. Wilson, New Family of Circulant Graphs without Cayley Isomorphism Property with $m_i = 3$ (Communicated for publication).





Fig. 1.*C*₁₆(1,2,7)**Fig. 2.***C*₁₆(2,3,5)